The Final Chapter — Apocalyptic Prophecy Fulfilled



Pl. 12. The Infernal Proteus. This is the diabolical anti-trinity of the Apocalypse; the Dragon, the Beast and the False Prophet. Like the Baphomet of the Templars, this personage is androgynous and symbolises pure and supreme animality—vital force deliberately cut off from the spiritual, and belonging to both sexes. Top left, a sorcerer is seen on his way to the Sabbath; he is flying over the Brocken in the Harz Mountains. Top right, an elemental is born from a torch brandished by a phantom. On the left a damned soul rises from a rent in the ground. The trinity emerges from the lake of fire mentioned in the Apocalypse. For occultism, Satan is the great, universal magnetic agent, the invisible creative Fire. (Frontispiece of Der höllische Proteus oder tausendkunstige Versteller by Erasmus Franciscus, Nuremberg, 1695.)

© Copyright 2023, T. Stanfill Benns (All emphasis within quotes is the author's unless indicated otherwise.)

Introduction

The first round of articles quoted below and their commentary are written by a Jewish man, Barry Chamish, now deceased. Chamish was an Israeli investigative writer and reporter, the author of several books. He won awards for his work while living in Canada and later moved to the U.S. He died in Florida in 2016. Any negative comments about the **true** Church are to be ignored, but his work gives a general idea of what is happening and is further confirmed by the video link here. Please watch both the video provided in the YouTube link and read below to fully understand the bigger picture of what is going on: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZIZyhpG7OiA The quotes below are from articles written by Chamish.

Following Chamish's quotes, I have included from Masonic and other sources what appears to be related material for comparison, since all address the same events. These will be explained in due course once the groundwork is laid for the evaluation of the material presented.



Solomon's Temple

"...The Vatican has been pushing its 'replacement' doctrine for centuries which states that the Catholic Church has replaced Israel as "the New Israel." [It is stated in Pope Pius XI's encyclical Mit Brennunder Sorge that Catholics are "spiritually semites" – Ed.]

"The Roman Catholic Church wants Israel to lose sovereign control over the Old City of Jerusalem so that "the promises to the literal descendants of Abraham will be applied to the "New Jerusalem." If Israel controls Jerusalem, it is evidence that Rome's claims are not legitimate and that the literal interpretation of Scriptures is correct. There is no place for the restoration of the nation of Israel

in its theology. How can the Vatican claim to be "the New Jerusalem" and "rightful heir to the Kingdom of God" if the Jews control Jerusalem? How is the Catholic Church going to convince the world that their version of theology is correct?

"The Vatican is going to have everyone believe this "mysterious individual who will 'unite the faiths' and appear in Jerusalem which will be under the control of an authority headed by The Vatican." That deal to have the Vatican come into Israel and take over these properties and to "control and dominate the Old City of Jerusalem" already exists and has been fully documented and reported in the world's media.

"This article includes three up-to-date news stories. The premise is this: According to Rome there will never be a Temple/Synagogue built in Jerusalem unless Rome first controls the real estate (Temple Mount, Old City, City of David, Mt. Zion) upon which it will be built. The Vatican is actively pursuing these goals... The Vatican has attempted to obtain control of Jerusalem, which started with the Crusades. For them to convince the world that the Messiah they put on the world's stage is going to be accepted as genuine, they need to perform this play in the Old City. The story of this production is that this "Messiah" will merge THE THREE MONOTHEISTIC RELIGIONS, usher in peace and harmony in the world, and solve the Middle East conflict. The location for this "production" will be in none other than the Old City of Jerusalem.

"This so-called "Messiah" that will be proclaimed, will be a false one and it will insist that by having a "world government" (i.e., the United Nations) the world peace and harmony will be ushered in. This will be a lie, and a fraud, but never mind. In our world, reality isn't important. Public perceptions are. The end result is the stripping of Israel's sovereignty as an independent nation giving way to a "regional bloc of nations" in the Middle East. Israel will be pressured to accede to these demands by all world bodies and the superpowers on the claim that "this is the only way to solve the Middle East conflict."

"In order for the Jews to go along, they will convince them that with the "Messiah" having appeared for the Jews, it is time to start rebuilding the Third Temple — what they call "Solomon's Temple." This version of events is widely available through a simple search on the Internet as there are many Christian groups and organizations (the majority of which who are very pro-Israel) who don't buy into these beliefs and thus are against them. I didn't come up with the theory — I am just bringing it to the attention of the Israeli public.

"In January 2001, Israel TV journalists secretly filmed under the Shrine of Omar, the seventh century Islamic building which may have been deliberately constructed over the Holy of Holies, the most sacred prayer room of the ancient Jewish Temple. The video revealed a new and massive tunnel aimed directly at the most sacred core of Solomon's, and later, Herod's Temples. During the Crusades the early 12th century chivalric order, The Knights Templar, dug under the ruins for nine years and found a network of tunnels where the Jewish priests hid their treasures from the marauding Romans in 70 CE (A.D.).

"It was also assumed that the original records of the Jerusalem Church which prove that the Vatican was not practicing Christianity as its founders had intended, was buried in this spot. A pope with exclusive rights of divine interpretation was not part of G[o]d's plan. If these scrolls were made

public, they would jeopardize Rome's legitimacy. Thus it is imperative to The Vatican that the Jews be removed from the Temple Mount so that they don't find these important scrolls. The Palestinian Authority is serving as the Vatican's "building contractors" in this arrangement in the hope that the Vatican will side with the Palestinians in the conflict with Israel.

"Again: The Vatican is going to have everyone believe this "mysterious individual who will 'unite the faiths' and appear in Jerusalem which will be under the control of an authority headed by The Vatican." That deal to have the Vatican come into Israel and take over these properties and to "control and dominate the Old City of Jerusalem" already exists and has been fully documented and reported in the world's media" (End of Chamish quotes).

From Master Mason Albert Pike's Morals and Dogma

"The Templars, like all other secret orders and associations, had two doctrines," Pike said, "one concealed and reserved for the Masters, which was Johannism; the other public, which was the Roman Catholic." Pike explained that the Templar founders "took an oath between the hands of the Patriarch of Constantinople, a See always secretly or openly hostile to that of Rome from the time of Photius. The avowed object of the Templars was to protect the Christians who came to the Holy Places: their secret aim was the rebuilding of the Temple of Solomon on the model prophesied by Ezekiel. "This rebuilding... had become the secret dream of the Patriarchs of the Orient. The Temple of Solomon, rebuilt and consecrated to the Catholic worship would become, in effect, the Metropolis of the universe. The East would prevail over the West and the Patriarchs of Constantinople would possess themselves of the papal power."

Protocols of Priory/BI/Rosicrucian origin

(While many believe that the Protocols of the Elders of Zion was a Jewish-authored work, some disagree, and with good reason. Holy Blood, Holy Grail authors Lincoln, Leigh and Baigent believe the Protocols are not the work of the Jews at all, having been penned by members of the Rose-Croix Masons (not the Jews proper, but those who believe they are the European descendants of the BI dispersed tribes) as early as 1864. This would account for their anti-Semitic nature. Certain sympathies and telltale signs, they explain, can be pointed to as proof of this. They believe in fact this work is actually a blueprint of sorts for the Priory of Sion. And there are indeed references in the Protocols that point to this. Some pertinent quotes are listed below.)

- ...Our organization of secret masonry is not known and [the] aims [of it] are not even so much as suspected by these goy cattle, attracted by us into the show army of Masonic lodges, in order to throw dust in the eyes of their fellows (p. 181).
- When we at last definitely come into our Kingdom by the aid of a *coups detat*, *prepared everywhere for one and the same day*, (following a planned economic crisis, p. 156) and after the worthlessness of all existing forms of government has been definitely acknowledged (and not a little time will pass before that comes about, perhaps even a whole century), we shall make it our task to see that against us such things as plots shall no longer exist. Every kind of new institution of

anything like a secret society will also be punished with death... In this way we shall proceed with those goy Masons who know too much (p. 192).

- When the king of Israel sets upon his sacred head the crown offered him by Europe, he will become patriarch of the world; the indispensable victims offered by him in consequence of their suitability will never reach the number of victims offered in the course of centuries by the mania of magnificence the emulation between the goy governments (p. 200).
- We have long past taken care to discredit the priesthood of the goyim and thereby to ruin their mission on earth which in these days might still be a great hindrance to us. Freedom of conscience has been declared everywhere so that now only years divide us from the moment of the complete wrecking of that Christian religion.
- The king of the Jews will be the real Pope of the universe, the patriarch of an international church. But in the meantime, while we are re-educating youth in new traditional religions, and afterwards in ours, we shall not overtly lay a finger on existing churches, but we shall fight against them by criticism calculated to produce schism (pgs. 204-205).
- The one who found and published the Protocols, (and added anti-Semitic rhetoric to them according to HBHG) one Prof. Sergius Nilus, warns: "One can no longer doubt it the triumphant reign of the king of Israel rises over our degenerate world as that of Satan with his power and his terrors. *The king born of the blood of Zion, the Antichrist*, is about to mount the throne of universal empire. Only the light of Christ and of his holy Church universal can fathom the abyss of Satan and disclose the extent of its wickedness" (p. 224).

Aleister Crowley, Ordo Templi Orientis (OTO)

In her review of Craig Heimbichner's work, *Blood on the Altar*, often quoted by this author, Cornelia Ferreira writes: "This new philosophy is the philosophy of Thelema or self-will, explains Mr. Heimbichner, whilst the context of the Church's destruction is the Aeon of Horus, in which the satanic Masonic New Age replaces Christianity (pp. 106-7, 136). *The final Aeon proclaimed by Crowley will be the Aeon of Maat, the era of the Antichrist or THE FALSE JEWISH MESSIAH.* At that time, says Mr. Heimbichner, 'Freemasonic leaders hope to finally fulfill their THIRD DEGREE RITUAL by rebuilding Solomon's Temple so that blood may again flow upon Jerusalem's altar, defiantly reversing and nullifying, in the Talmudic and occult mind, the blood of Christ."

And in order for this to take place, the Sacrifice of the Mass had to first be obliterated. Ferreira continues: "As Crowley exclaimed to a Jewish rabbi: 'Let Anti-Christ arise, let Him announce to Israel its integrity.' The OTO is paving the way for the 'hoped-for Judeo-Masonic dream of rebuilding the Temple of Solomon so that the blood of animals may once again be present at the rabbinical altar.' How close are we to this event? Well, Mr. Heimbichner cites a rabbi who says

Jewish tradition calls for the re-establishment of the Jewish Sanhedrin as "a necessary condition for the rebuilding of the Temple." He then quotes an Israeli news report of October 14, 2004, that announced, "After secretive preparations that lasted for over a year, the Sanhedrin ... will resume its operation after 1,500 years." (pp. 125-26, 129-30)

The various links between these groups is illustrated below in one of the best modern-day descriptions of Freemasonry available.

Summary of Masonic progression and intentions

"It has been well documented by others and is beyond knowledgeable dispute that at their highest degrees and levels, Freemasonry and its plethora of cult and occult branches, which includes Mormonism, Theosophy, the New Age movement and even Anton LaVey's Church of Satan, not only openly deride Christ in his work but explicitly advocate the worship and adoration of Lucifer (Satan) as a means to achieve individual godhood and 'World Peace.' Illuminist Freemasonry, which itself originated with the Rosicrucians and the Temple Knights and from there Gnosticism, Cabalism and the ancient mystery religions, especially Zoroastrianism, has long conspired to infiltrate, subvert and co-opt the major oligarchic religious military, financial, economic, political, governmental, educational, informational and medical bodies and institutions of the world, including the [Catholic] Church at their upper echelons, so as to eventually foist a full-fledged Luciferian New World Order, consisting of a democratic world government and a unified world religion, upon mankind. Italy (Rome) France (Paris) England (London) Germany (Berlin and Hamburg) Russia (Moscow) and the United States (Washington and especially New York City) are among the major international bases of operation for this massive conspiracy, (England being the most prominent currently) which is working heavily through the United Nations, the World Constitution, the Parliament Association and many other organizations and institutions to achieve its insidious aims" (Antichrist and a Cup of Tea, Tim Cohen, 1998).

Perspective on the comments above


What Chamish is describing above is not quite theologically or factually correct from a Catholic standpoint, but almost. He believes the Church has always taught that it falls heir to all the promises made to the Jews, and this is true; but he forgets to mention one very important fact. Commentators on Holy Scripture have opined that (over time, and more noticeably in the end times) the Jews will convert and become a PART of the one, true Catholic Church, and then and only then will the Jewish nation realize the fulfillment of the promises God made to them regarding their full possession and restoration of the Promised Land. Given this condition, the Holy Land governed under the auspices of the Catholic Church would then be possible. So what is really being planned here is the prevention of any possibility the Jews could ever convert to Catholicism.

Such a conversion could only come about if the Jews accepted the *Catholic* faith, and after non-Catholic sects convert to Catholicism. "Blindness in part has happened in Israel until the fullness of the Gentiles should come in. And so all of Israel shall be saved, as it was written," (Rom. 11: 25, 26). Father E. Sylvester Berry tells us in his *The Apocalypse of St. John* that: "The prophets of old foretell the future glory of Jerusalem when it shall become again the holy city and the spiritual capital of the world. Once the waters of salvation flow out to all peoples, it shall also become the capital of a Jewish nation gathered about it once more," and here the author cites texts predicting

this from Isaias, Zacharias and Jeremias. In the Acts of the Apostles, Christ tells us the kingdom would be restored to Israel, but only in God's good time (Acts 1:2). Yet other Scriptural commentators see only the *New Jerusalem* established on earth following the Second Coming as a fulfillment of this prophecy.

The Church's idea of the New Jerusalem as mentioned in the Apocalypse is envisioned by commentators as a heavenly, not an earthly kingdom versus the 1,000 anticipate millennium anticipated by the Jews in their restored Jerusalem. Yes, some commentators have speculated it could possibly have a Catholic and spiritual fulfillment in the restored Promised Land, but these men wrote before Pope Pius XII's ruled on this subject. Therefore to now claim it is a certainty that a new kingdom of God on earth will be established following the death of Antichrist is a species of millenarianism, and according to Pope Pius XII this theory "cannot be safely taught." What Chamish seems to be saying is that the "stranger" the Vatican will sponsor as the head of the one-world government will be mistaken by some for the long-awaited Jewish Messiah, who he rightly identifies as a *false* Messiah, ushered in by the Novus Ordo , *NOT THE CATHOLIC*, church. But he does not explain how this will happen, or who this stranger will be. This stranger could be a replacement for the pope, someone to whom he willingly hands over the reins to the Novus Ordo church in favor of the new world religion. Or the pope could play the role of a false prophet, working hand in hand with this leader. Either way, this would then be the culmination of all the "openings" to ecumenism begun long before the false Vatican 2 council. And it also would usher in a new world political order.

The world government established most likely would be based on what is explained here, https://www.betrayedcatholics.com/articles/a-catholics-course-of-study/traditionalist-heresies-and-errors/papal-teaching-on-church-and-state/b16-promotes-nwo-communitarianism/, which is only a modified form of Communism. Francis and his predecessors can be seen endorsing this governmental model below. As the link explains, it was first advocated by John Paul 2, developed under Benedict 16 and is now in further development by Francis. Will Francis really die in 2023 as some have speculated or simply relinquish his power to someone else? Or will the new "pope" they are reportedly already preparing to elect be the one who will do the relinquishing? There is no doubt that the push for this ecumenical orientation and surrender began with John 23, was realized under Paul 6, first to introduce the Novus Ordo Missae, and will undoubtedly end with Francis or his successor. Will that successor be the stranger, the false Messiah Chamish predicted?



All this has been going on before our very eyes for decades. We may not have been keeping up with the particulars, but it is clear today that Antichrist's forces are close to realizing their goals and will soon complete the fulfillment of biblical prophecy. Given what we know today, it is impossible to believe that this happened without the direct cooperation of the usurpers occupying the Vatican, as explained in *The Phantom Church in Rome*. Everything fits in perfectly with the prophetic timeline provided in 2 Thess. 2 and Apocalypse Chapters 12-18. The Great Revolt or Apostasy came first, beginning in earnest with the Reformation, continuing with the French Revolution, the overthrow of Catholic monarchies, the triumph of Liberalism, then Modernism and finally the betrayal of the cardinals in "electing" a Freemason and Communist sympathizer "pope" in 1958. This was followed by the desertion of the flock by all Catholic bishops accepting Roncalli, then Montini, as true popes at the false Vatican 2 council.

The topics addressed below will lay the foundation for a more detailed explanation of Catholic teaching on the implications of the opening section of this work. It will attempt to explain how what is being proposed is being diabolically construed to implicate the Church, when in reality it is the work of Protestant-inspired Freemasonry and those who run it behind the scenes.

Catholic and Protestant views on Antichrist Catholic teaching and belief

Basically the Church teaches that following a great revolt of clergy and people, and once he who withholdeth is taken out of the way, Antichrist will appear. His rule will be worldwide, he will exhibit signs and wonders, he will wage a terrible war against the saints and overcome them, he will abolish the Continual Sacrifice and he will usurp the throne of God, showing himself as if he were God. Many will be deceived and only a few will remain faithful. All of this will precede the Second Coming. Once He returns, however, the Last Judgment and the eternal order will commence immediately. The Church has allowed the possibility that Antichrist will show himself in the Jewish Temple and *proclaim* himself God but has never taught that the Temple will be rebuilt. (The reason for this will be explained in the next section.) As Rev. P. Huchede explains in the Introduction to his *History of Antichrist*, "The events connected with the end of the world will alone remove the mystery in which the present text is enveloped...The prophecies...[will] be explained by the event..."

And this indeed has taken place. As shown in *The Phantom Church in Rome*, Roncalli, the false prophet, worked hand in hand with Paul 6 long before his election. Montini was the first man he appointed cardinal, in 1959. Montini assisted Roncalli in penning many of his official "papal" documents. He worked behind the scenes engineering everything in advance for Montini's already guaranteed election in 1963. He finished what Roncalli had begun, concluding the false Vatican 2 council, revising the rites for all the Sacraments and instituting the Novus Ordo Missae in 1969. He revised the catechisms, prayer books, liturgical calendars and basically upended everything that had once been Catholic. He allowed the profanation of the churches which set up an idol (the unconsecrated Eucharist, unable to be consecrated by men offering the Novus Ordo, recognizing him as pope and ordained in the new rite) on the altar set in front of what once was the main altar. He began preparations for the revision of Canon Law, completed under John Paul 2. He did everything that is predicted in the book of Daniel and in Apocalypse, most notably causing the Sacrifice to cease.

This is how we know that he was the Antichrist, without whom nothing else could be done. The true pope had to be taken out of the way, "he who withholdeth," as Henry Edward Cardinal Manning says. Then came the Great Revolt, the betrayal of the cardinals (who elected John 23 even knowing he was an unworthy candidate, incapable of election) and later the bishops, (who followed John 23 and accepted his changes to the Missal and the 1959 dialogue mass missalettes which read "for *ALL* men"). Then Antichrist proper arrives, with the reign of Paul 6. The Church — the papacy — had to be removed before the New World Order government and religion could eventually be set in place. Holy Scripture says nothing of *how long* it will take Antichrist and his system to rise to the full exercise of its power; it says only that at the *heighth* of that power he will reign for three years and a half. On setting up the Satanic papacy in direct opposition to Christ and His Vicars, it is only reasonable that this "line" of false popes (and probably their individual false prophets) would require a certain amount of time to achieve their goals. Each one inherited from John 23 and Paul 6 the same power and authority, provided directly by Satan, just as true popes derive their power and authority from that given by Christ to St. Peter. This is reflected in the dual names John and Paul used by two of the usurpers.

Antichrist according to the Protestants

There are probably as many views on Antichrist as there are Protestant sects, but we will choose only a few authors here to present what we believe is the generalized idea of the Son of Perdition among most non-Catholics. Of course there is the old predominantly Lutheran and Calvinist cant that the popes have always been Antichrist, a belief largely abandoned in the late 1800s but later revived in the 20th century. This fits in perfectly with the above scenario of the Templar "secret" discovered beneath Solomon's Temple. It is reflected in the teachings of Protestant author Dave Hunt, as quoted in Tim Cohen's 1998 work *Antichrist and a Cup of Tea* and can be summarized as follows:



- Antichrist will oppose Christ while pretending to be Christ.
- The world must be united in the twin causes of global peace and ecological rescue when this man appears.
- Roman Catholicism ...was the old paganism of Rome surviving under a thin veneer of Christian terminology and form. It was Constantine who decreed that since Rome was the capital of the empire its Bishop should be the ecclesiastical head of the church...
- St. John's description of the woman in Rev.17-6... indicates John is seeing the wickedness and idolatry that came from Babel and culminates in the fall of the Church of the last days. It will encompass all religions under the leadership of the Pope in Rome.
- The Roman Catholic hierarchy has a long history of leadership in ecumenism.
- The Roman Catholic Church is the most powerful and effective enemy of Christianity in history. Its teachings are masterpieces of deception.
- The Antichrist won't even pretend to be Jesus. On what basis then will he claim to be Christ? Almost certainly he will claim to

be the latest reincarnation of the *Christ spirit* that was allegedly in Krishna, Rama, Buddha, Jesus Muhammad et al.

- Through him Christianity becomes the ultimate humanism.
- This satanic power manifest through Antichrist will be hailed as psychic powers of the mind and thus proof of man's innate God-like potential.
- He is the reincarnation of the Christ-spirit that was in Jesus.
- Catholics'... *baptism of the spirit* has been to increase their heretical devotion to Mary. The spirit that endorses such delusion will also endorse Antichrist.
- It is already a crime punishable under the genocide treaty which was recently signed by the United States to suggest that any religion is wrong, period. To be ecumenical and positive is required by international law. It is but a small step to antichrist's harsh rule."

Everything here agrees with Chamish's description of Antichrist, but his arrival will only fulfill *the Protestant idea* of who he will be. Not Francis nor any of his successors are Catholics, or representatives of the Vatican. Any "deal" made with the Vatican was never made with the true Catholic Church, but with the Whore of Babylon Protestants themselves established through their creation of Freemasonry. Protestant pastors cooperated in the destruction of the liturgy and were present at Vatican 2. They *applauded* ecumenism, the absolution of the Jews as responsible for Christ's death and the modernization of the Church. It is especially a certain faction of Protestantism, called Dispensationalists, who have supported the rebuilding of the Temple all along. One group has even established an evangelical seminary in Jerusalem with claims of Jew and Arab converts to Christianity (One for Israel). They teach that "The people of Israel are God's chosen people whom He loves with an everlasting love. God continues to fulfill all of the promises given to the Fathers. ... Yeshua the Messiah will return to establish God's manifest kingdom, to resurrect the dead and determine the eternal destiny of all men, eternally blessing the believers and eternally punishing the wicked."

We see above all the old calumnies against the Church Protestants have always spread. They fit in perfectly with Chamish's predictions: Rome was pagan from the beginning and the Church was always the Babylonish whore; in the last days a worldwide Church headed by the pope will encompass all religions; the Church promotes humanism and the Christ-spirit theory; Catholic devotion to Mary is heretical, *ad nauseum*. But this "whore" only began her reign following the death of Pope Pius XII, not from the very beginning as Protestants always have taught. This is why Catholics themselves should *now* be able to see that this scarlet woman is truly Rome and fulfills the prophecies. Of course we know that Pope Paul IV's infallible 1559 Bull, *Cum ex Apostolatus Officio* was written specifically to explain to Catholics precisely how a man could *appear* to be pope when actually he was a heretic never validly elected. This was in response to the papal antichrist calumny then being taught by the Protestants, particularly the Lutherans. Those steadfastly opposing this bull since the 19th century have apparently done so to leave the Church no way to defend Herself when finally brought down by Her enemies.

Above, in mentioning the *Christ-spirit*, we see the use of Holy Spirit in Novus Ordo baptism replacing the usage of Holy Ghost in Catholic Baptism. In his *New Age Bible Versions*, Protestant author G.A. Riplinger contrasted newly translated biblical texts to the King James and (in some instances) the Douay-Rheims versions. He concluded that all these modern translations have replaced "Holy Ghost" with "Holy Spirit" in key passages, a change arising, he said, "from

ecumenical practices." He cited the rebuke from Job 26:4 to those who were moved, not of the holy Spirit of God, but by their "human spirit;" and also referred these changes to Gal. 3:5 and Cor. 2:11 which mention "unclean spirits." This affords us further insight into what may be intended by the Novus Ordo usage. But unfortunately Riplinger is savagely anti-Mary and believes the Blessed Mother is actually a manifestation of Satan. (This will be further explained below.)

In his *The Emergence of Catholic Tradition*, Jar Slav Pelican, (1971) cites the early Fathers in regard to the Trinity, noting that *the word spirit could be used for the Divine in Christ, effectively separating His Divinity from His humanity. This is a denial of the Incarnation, one of the heresies for which Antichrist will be specifically known. This heresy was condemned in 680 by Pope St. Agatho at the Roman Council, (DZ 288). As Pelican explains, Christ's "spirit" and the Holy Ghost have nothing to do with each other. He cited such a distinction as suggestive of a "binitarian mode of thinking," and ascribed it to "those early Christian writings, which still showed marks of the Jewish origins of Christianity." It is also interesting to note Hunt's referral of this spirit to the Blessed Virgin, a vile inference that refers not to Catholic teaching, but to the Church's condemnation of an old heresy.*

Mgr. Cristiani, in his book *Satan in the Modern World*, relates that One Gnostic faction taught that God had a female counterpart to account for His acts of "generation," (creation). This "creative activity" of God was identified with the Holy Ghost or "*Holy Spirit*," and was also called Barbelo, hence the sect itself was known as Barbelo-Gnostics. Based on correspondence from Leon Bloy, a confirmed Satanist, one R. Barbeau revealed in 1957 that Bloy, a fallen away Catholic and good friend of Jacques Maritain (admirer and ardent supporter of Paul 6), revered Satan as the Holy Ghost. This new twist on Barbelo Gnosticism resulted from the influence of the above-mentioned sects that later introduced serpent worship. But Bloy takes the female spirit idea one step farther — *he identifies this Barbelo spirit as Our Lady and Satan at the same time*. This idea can be identified as Gnostic dualism, which later became Manichaean dualism and was carried on into Catharism. Today the Mormons, founded by Freemasons, espouse this same heresy. So why is this being attributed to Catholics who long ago condemned those propagating it?

Not all Protestants may be as anti-Catholic as those cited here. But as Craig Heimbichner writes in his work, *Blood on the Altar*, after chronicling the involvement of several Protestant denomination with Freemasonry: "Protestants need to be reminded, particularly the anti-Catholics among them, that *denunciations of Freemasonry and the occult ring hollow if they do not also denounce the Judeo-masonic influence over certain Protestant founders and denominations*. Protestants who adopt a tone of superiority regarding Thelemic successes within Catholicism should be reminded that *while the Goat of Mendes has been galloping through Catholic churches, Baphomet has been playing the Baptist organ, and preaching to the Anglican choir.*" Amen to that! But the Goat of Mendes was not let loose in the Church until the reign of the usurpers. And what Heimbichner says is even more applicable to the man some believe is the best guess for the false messiah.

Cohen's book, mentioned above, is dedicated to proving that Great Britain's King Charles III will be the leader of this New World religion. And the King does seem to make a perfect candidate. After all, he is a direct descendant of the co-founder of Protestantism, so of course any "replacement" for the pope would have to come from this quarter! Cohen lists among his many

qualifying traits the fact that the King is known to be psychic, is well-versed in the occult, is a well-respected proponent of world peace, the Green movement and climate change, has been initiated into Freemasonry's highest degrees and can sport all the proper bloodlines. But whoever he is, *he will only be the last antichrist in Antichrist's abominable system*, and here we see why the Catholic Church had to be subverted and extinguished in order to be pushed firmly aside for this man to reign. Not only does it fulfill Holy Scripture regarding "he who withholdeth" being taken out of the way, it proves that in order for this to happen, the Church first had to appear to *CHANGE* — to give in to ecumenism/religious liberty and secular humanism, which was personified in Paul 6. And the Protestant religion, founded by Charles III's direct ancestor and Martin Luther, were key in facilitating these changes.

What bloodlines, exactly, would associate Charles III with Antichrist? You have heard them mentioned here before, so this will come as no surprise.

Judaizers (Desposyni)

This information is found in the Catholic Encyclopedia under Judaizers, which is what this sect was first called. Some of them believed that the priesthood from the line of David must be continued and they would follow only those bishops who descended from Jesus' cousins and Our Lady's family. These were relatives of Joachim and Anna, Jesus' maternal grandparents; Elizabeth, first cousin of Jesus' mother, Mary, and Elizabeth's husband, Zachary; also one Cleophas, a first cousin of Mary, and his wife. The descendants of those related to Our Lady were referred to as Desposyni or "heirs." The Judaizers believed that a married priesthood should perpetuate this line, providing hierarchy superior to the rest of the Apostles because of their relation to the Savior. This violated Christ's establishment of His Church equally on all the Apostles, James and John included, for if Jesus intended the hierarchy to be mainly composed of His own blood relatives, then certainly St. Peter, head of that hierarchy, would have been His blood relation.

In fact this is exactly what the Desposyni objected to: they believed that Jerusalem, not Rome, should be the center of the Church and that the Greeks and the Romans had no rightful claim to rule the Church as non-Desposyni. They wished to limit Christ's scope of salvation. When Pope St. Dionysius decreed that the clergy observe celibacy (DZ 52c), this effectively put an end to the claims of the Desposyni "bloodline." According to Malachi Martin, who notes that there is no written record of its occurrence, the Desposyni purportedly appealed to St. Sylvester, Pope St. Dionysius' successor, in 318 A. D. But Pope Sylvester scarcely could have repealed Pope St. Dionysius' teaching on celibacy, which became an authoritative teaching of the Church from that point on. The Desposyni then separated themselves forever from the Church, gravitating to Judaic, Gnostic and other sects. It seems that at least some of these sects contributed to the Orthodox schism, which partly accounts for the fact that the Orthodox priesthood embraces married priests.

It also explains the Orthodox rejection of the papacy, and the later rejection of papal authority by the Protestant sects. St. Paul, whom the Judaizers objected to as a non-Desposyni and latecomer not even recruited by Jesus during His lifetime, warned against them in his letter to Titus, Ch. 3, vs. 9: "Avoid foolish questions, and genealogies and contentions, and strivings about the law. For they are unprofitable things and vain." The genealogies proving the Desposyni's blood descent from Christ's family was something of great pride and import to them, and long after they were

dispersed, these genealogies are said to have been scrupulously kept. They would emerge at a later point in history.

William H. Kennedy, author of "Lucifer's Lodge: Satanic Ritual Abuse in the Catholic Church," writes: "According to J. H. Burns in The Cambridge History of Medieval Political Thought (1988), Pope Paul I (757-767) bestowed on the Merovingian House of France the designation minister dei (divine leaders), anointed their aristocracy as "Davids" and "Solomons," and declared their kingdom a "New Israel." Employing a rarely used theological power called *translatio imperii*, the Holy Father transferred the divine right rule of the House of David to the Franks. This measure may have been employed because of Desposyni intermarriage with Merovingians. The Jesus bloodline was Davidic, and using this relationship was one way the Petrine Office could fend off the encroaching Byzantine Empire, which claimed succession from Julius Caesar. The Davidic line antedated the Caesarian lineage and gave the Pontiff a justification for ignoring the Eastern Emperor. The pope's grant probably was spiritual in nature only, but the Desposyni may have chosen to believe otherwise.

This is the root of the medieval belief in the *divine right* theory, that the European royal houses were of the House of David." This grant would, however, explain the later emergence of the Priory of Sion, an organization thought to have originated with the Knights Templar to protect the ("Divine") bloodline of the French monarchy, connected by intermarriage to England, Germany and in general, the vast network of monarchies of Europe.

The Priory of Sion

An organization calling itself the Priory of Sion appeared on the world stage officially sometime in 1956. This organization (allegedly) was established as a secret society in the 11th century by Godfroi de Boullion, Merovingian leader of the First Crusade. (The Merovingians were early rulers of France whose royal bloodline was believed to have become extinct.) At around the same time, the Fatimite group known as the Assassins also was founded. Sometime in the first 20 years of the 12th century, Hugues de Payen founded the Temple Knights as a "front" organization for the order of Sion. Baudouin de Boullion, de Boullion's brother, was the grand master per Payen's appointment who reigned after Payen's death (according to Lincoln, Leigh and Baigent's Holy Blood, Holy



Grail — HBHG). In about 1128, St. Bernard Clairvaux became a "spokesman" for the Knights

Templar, and they were incorporated as a "religious military order of warrior monks and soldier-mystics" (Ibid). In 1188, the Temple Knights separated from the Order of Sion, which then became the *Priory* of Sion. In that same year Jean Gisors, the Priory's first grand master, is said to have founded the Rosicrucians. Certain reports state that the Temple Knights built their headquarters over the foundations of Solomon's Temple and are suspected of plundering some of the hidden treasures buried in tunnels beneath these ruins. Here we see the source for Chamish's claims that they unearthed scrolls proving Rome's illegitimacy.

The members of this organization believed that the monarchs of Europe, especially of France and England, through intermarriage, were direct descendants of Jesus and Mary Magdalene. Who fled from Jerusalem to France following the crucifixion, which they believe Christ survived. They deny the doctrine of Christ's spiritual mission to make the monstrous claim that Jesus sired children by Mary Magdalene, also her sister Martha. Mary Magdalene and Joseph of Arimathea, they hold, journeyed across the ocean with these children to Europe in 70 A.D. and the line of Christ's "human" family was perpetuated, eventually siring French kings. The fables supporting this story vary, some saying a substitute was crucified for Christ or that He survived by magical means. In using the term, "Guardians of the Grail," sect members refer to the womb of Magdalene bearing divine descendants, or the necessity of ensuring that the "blood royale" is preserved throughout the centuries. As noted above, this fable is the basis for Mormonism.

This ancient heresy is nothing more than the continuation of pre-Christian Gnosticism into Christianity, to remove the spiritual element and introduce carnal pagan/gnostic Jewish elements. Jules LeBretton and Jacques Zeiller, S.J., in their work "The History of the Primitive Church, Vol. II" wrote: "Gnosis...almost always claims to be based upon some ancient message transmitted secretly by a chain of initiates...The hermetic books present themselves as revelations made to Hermes or received by him; others appeal to Asclepios. Similarly the Christian Gnostics place their revelation under the patronage of some apostle, or often, of Mary Magdalene, who is supposed to have received them from Christ before the Ascension." In the case of the descendants from the Virgin Mary's side of Christ's family, who apparently migrated to Western Europe, a legitimate claim to "royal blood" could be made and was even taken into consideration by the Church. There is no mystery here nor any impure connotations; it was only later that the stories were circulated concerning Christ's "union" with Mary Magdalen. These would arise only with the Templars, secretly, and finally publicly, with the official establishment of Freemasonry in the 1700s. Mormonism would later even teach this lie as part of their religion.

It is important to separate the departure of the Desposyni into various sects in the early centuries A. D. from the alleged migration of the Virgin Mary's family members to Western Europe. The disaffected Desposyni may also have migrated to Western and Eastern Europe; or they may already have been living in Roman settlements in Gaul shortly after Christ's death. Once they formally objected to the failure to retain Jewish customs and practice as part of Christianity, they ceased to be members of the Catholic Church. As such they would have comprised an already antagonistic resistance to both papal rule and the rule of any hierarchy not connected to Mary's family. This ready-made seedbed of opposition may have provided support for those not content with a one-sided connection to Christ, who needed instead to believe they were divine themselves and superior to their fellow men. The Catholic Church suspected the true origins of this claim and denied the divine right theory. Divine right fueled the lay investiture controversy fought for years by the

Church with its insinuation that a direct connection to Christ placed those possessing it at least on an equal par with the hierarchy. In later centuries it would become the belief that man was a part of God and could attain divinity, (secular humanism).

Rule by Divine right?

We learn from *Holy Blood*, *Holy Grail* authors Lincoln, Leigh and Baigent, that "Traditionalist" Archbishop Marcel Lefebvre was likely an active member of the Priory. Recent revelations by one Traditionalist site here show he most likely served at one point as its grand master. There is a quote on pages 155-156 from one Jean Delaude, who, the authors report, addressed a pamphlet to the Priory: "For what is the Prieure de Sion preparing? I do not know, but it represents a power capable of confronting the Vatican in the days to come. Monsignor Lefebvre is a most active and redoubtable member, capable of saying: *You make me Pope, and I will make you King.*" The authors of this book also identify one Abbe Ducaud-Borget as a one-time grand master of the Priory. Like Lefebvre, Ducaud-Borget was a prominent Traditionalist in France and opposed Paul 6. The authors remind the readers that the Priory's subtitle is "Chivalry of Catholic Rules and Institutions of the *Independent and Traditionalist Union*." And the actual function of this union might be better described below.

"During the 19th century, the Priory of Sion, working through Freemasonry and the Hieron du Val d'Or attempted to establish a revived and updated holy Roman Empire, a kind of theocratic United States of Europe ruled simultaneously by the Hapsburgs and by a radically reformed Church. It is not unreasonable to suppose that Zions's present objectives are basically similar. Priory aims today would seem to include a theocratic United States of Europe, a modern empire ruled by a dynasty descended from Jesus in accordance with Merovingian tradition. The new king would rule but not govern. He would be a priest-king who functions primarily in a ritual and symbolic capacity. The actual business of governing would be handled conceivably by the Priory.

"The Hiéron's agenda was the creation of a new Habsburg and Catholic Holy Roman Empire with a French temporal and spiritual head *in the manner of the Grand Monarch*, an association of Europeans bound by common law and dedicated to advancing the mission of Christ the King..." (Here they cite sources linked to the "Catholic" secret society Marcel Lefebvre reportedly belonged to, the Priory of Sion.) "They [the Hieron] claim the existence of a secret parallel Catholic tradition called l'Eglise d'Avignon (Church of Avignon), which they trace to the medieval Papacy installed in Avignon from 1309 to 1378. The claim is that it continued in secret with a Pope who represents the esoteric aspects of the Catholic Church. *L'Eglise d'Avignon is said to serve as an intermediary between the Roman Church and the Eastern Orthodox tradition*" (p. 385). And this patriarch and tradition and its present-day heirs (which could account for claims of a "hidden pope") might well be tracked to Jerusalem and the unveiling of the false Jewish Messiah.

What is most interesting about the bloodline outlined here is its purported connection to King Charles III. In his work quoted above, Tim Cohen writes: "[Charles III] appears to have a strong occult Merovingian lineage. His ancestors include not only the Habsburgs but also Godfroi de Boullion, giving him a greater claim, should he choose to make it, to the crusader title King of Jerusalem... He claims descent from royalty worldwide. With such a diverse lineage... Charles will, if he is the Antichrist, attain prominence in international affairs especially where Jerusalem,

the City of David is concerned. For Judaism, Roman Catholicism and Islam are the three major religions that have historically vied for Jerusalem." Even the preparations for the Protestant Reformation can be traced to attempts to minimize papal authority and maximize the rights of the state, creating the Western Schism in the 14th century. The Western schism provided a launchpad for claiming Divine right, by blood (secretly), but also on the premise that Christ's jurisdiction was equally granted to all the Apostles and their successors, not just St. Peter.

According to one work published by Sheed and Ward in 1963, based on an Anglican work printed in 1913: "Anglicans have claimed that their own ecclesiology... lies fully in the tradition of the Conciliar movement, '...[on] the principles set out in the 15th century at Constance and at Basle... Roughly speaking, the ideas of [the Catholic theologian Jean] Gerson and his congeners were those of a reformed Episcopal communion' (Figgis)." (Adrian Hastings, *One and Apostolic*, p. 187-88). One modern Protestant author has also written that "...Luther was familiar with the writings of the conciliarists (Gallicanists) Pierre d'Ailly, Jean Gerson, and Wessel Gansfort, and ...Luther takes up some of the major themes of these writers into his own theology," (Tim Enloe, *Martin Luther and the Quest for Conciliar Reform of the Church*). D'Ailly and Gerson were the ones who held that the bishops receive their jurisdiction immediately from Our Lord, and all bishops, therefore, are equal in power to the Roman Pontiff. This notion was condemned by Pope Pius XII in *Mystici Corporis*, as proven here.

British Israelism

This is a different sort of bloodline, one that is diametrically opposed to those perceived as Jews worldwide on the grounds that they are the *TRUE* Jews. British Israel (BI) is basically the belief that the white race was chosen to rule the earth and bring all other races under its control. British

GRAND ARCHITECT CF THE UNIVERSE

ANTICHEST

GOUNCIL OF 13

THE "300"

ELLUMINATI

B'MAI B'RITH

GOMMUNISM

SCOTTISM RITE

WHITE MASONRY: ROTARY, ELKS, YMCA, ETC.

BLUE LODGE

PRO-MASONS -- "MASONS WITHOUT THE APRON"

BRITISH ISRAELISM

CATHOLIC and PROTESTANT LIBERALISM

CATHOLIC and PROTESTANT LIBERALISM

Detilication of Man

Detilication of Man

THE MASONIC PYRAMID

C. I. Scofield, who wrote the Scofield version of the King James Bible in 1909, reinterpreting key passages to reflect the identity of Christians in America and Britain as the 10 lost tribes of Israel. first promoted this movement and Scofield wrote a commentary on the reflecting BI teachings as founded on Scripture.

Prime Minister Benjamin Disraeli and Dr.

Scofield and British Israel teach that Britain and Israel must unite under a one-world government headed by a British king ruling by "divine right," and tell its adherents that they are descendants of the original Israelite tribes of Benjamin and Manasses. It promoted white Anglo-Saxon Protestants only and eventually spawned the KKK in this country. Given a leg up by British Israel believer Henry Ford, America First proponent Charles Lindbergh and even the radio priest Father

Coughlin, it helped pave the way for the Nazi brand of national Socialism and the later emergence of Aryan Nation/Christian Identity groups.

British Israel is actually one of the lower degrees of Freemasonry as seen on the left of Lady Queensborough's Masonic pyramid. British Israel beliefs are also known in Protestant circles as Dispensationalism, something that "... has become very popular with American evangelicalism, especially among nondenominational Bible churches, Baptists, Pentecostal, and Charismatic groups" (Wikipedia), although Methodists and Presbyterians, however, do not endorse Dispensationalism. It first began with the preacher John Darby, but culminated in the teachings of his successor, C.I. Scofield. The Scofield Bible and its interpretation is generally favored by BI adherents. Dispensationalists believe:

- Believers will be raptured several years before the Second Coming.
- That before the Second Coming, the Jerusalem temple will be rebuilt.
- The Jerusalem temple, not the Church, is where Antichrist will show himself as God.
- Following the Second Coming, this same temple will exist in Jerusalem, or possibly a new or reconsecrated one.
- During an earthly millennium, animal sacrifices offered at this temple will commemorate Christ's sacrifice on the Cross.

But most notably, and the reason for mentioning British Israel here, is because it fits in perfectly with what was mentioned in the Introduction above, as the following quote will demonstrate. In his 1980 work, *The United States and Britain in Prophecy*, British Israel "prophet" Herbert W. Armstrong reveals both the true agenda of British Israel and its connection to the Temple Mount secret. He wrote: "It was actually Simon the Magician, not Simon Peter, who founded the papacy of Catholicism, establishing the pagan mystery religion of Babylon in Rome. The ancient Babylonians migrated west and settled in Italy. Their religion was the Assyrian-Babylonian mystery religion. It is going to come as a breathtaking, awesome, shocking surprise when the world learns that one Simon, the sorcerer of Samaria in the time of the original Apostles, leader of the Babylonian mystery religion having the title of Pater or Peter, meaning Papa, actually appropriated the name of Christ and the Christian principle of grace... and started what today is called Christianity. How astonished the world will be to discover that it is not, and never was, the outgrowth of the Church of God founded by Jesus Christ and His Apostles... People will be shocked to learn how they have been deceived."

And so in the Desposyni sect and its offshoots, we find the true source of Armstrong's comments and British Israel's motives, the lewd and heretical Priory beliefs and the probable origin of Crowley's OTO, all found reflected in Chamish's report. It is obviously Masonic and is clearly an attempt to replace Catholicism with Protestantism. But what does it mean for Catholics?

King of Zion to usurp the throne of Christ the King

Satan was not content with Montini's usurpation of the earthly juridic throne of Christ's Vicar; his primary goal is to reign on earth in Christ's stead, to be worshipped as his *replacement*. We must remember that the Roman Pontiff speaks in Christ's name; this is the reason *We* is capitalized in all papal documents. Lucifer is not satisfied with just obtaining half of that throne; he must replace

Christ's Mystical Body on earth with his own *mystici corporis diabolicus*. Now the folly in this is that he must truly believe that Christ reigns as King in Heaven and is the invisible head of His Mystical Body on this earth in order to be so intent on destroying it. You cannot set out to replace something without implicitly admitting it already exists! But then who would know better than Satan, who was cast from God's glorious kingdom for believing himself equal to God.

In his refutation of British Israel, Protestant author David Baron, in his *The History of the Ten Lost Tribes* (1915, Morgan and Scott, LD), writes: "Anglo-Israelism ignores our Lord Jesus Christ as the center of all promise and prophecy, just as it ignores the existence of the Church and the future Kingdom of God, for all which it substitutes the British people and the British Empire. *But Christ is the true son of David and the only legitimate heir to the Davidic throne...* Hence when his birth was announced to the Virgin Mary the Angel Gabriel said: "Behold thou shalt conceive in thy womb and bring forth a son and shall call his name Jesus. He shall be great and shall be called the Son of the Most High and the Lord God shall give unto him *the throne of his father David and he shall reign over the House of Jacob Forever and of his Kingdom there shall be no end*" (Luke 1: 31-33).

Baron and the Protestant scholars he quotes refute British Israel claims based on Holy Scripture, pointing out that the so-called historic proofs of Anglo-Israelism by which the theory is supported are derived from Pagan myths and fables... if any intelligent Bible student will look up [the scripture references they cite] he will see that only a perverted fancy can see in them any justification for the theory here propounded... No evidence worthy of its name either historical, ethnological, linguistic or traditional is produced [by British Israel proponents]. We get nothing but conjectures and fanciful illusions as the proofs of this singular theory... This theory rests on a misreading of prophetic truths and such a misreading robs it of all its divine spirituality. Outward national prosperity and greatness, not righteousness, nor truth are made the characteristics of the Israel of prophecy... "

If even the Protestants could see so well that this heresy was the denial of Christ's reign as King, what possible excuse could Traditionalists have for buying into this nonsense except the hatred of the Jews instilled into them by their so-called clergy? This is the real reason for that hatred, expressed by those who drank the Koolaid TM: they think *they* are the real heirs to the kingdom. They cannot see that by belief in British Israel they deny Holy Scripture and the teachings of the Roman Pontiffs. These Traditionalists, so quick, in many cases to proclaim the reign of Christ King, —who have so often signed their Twitter posts and blog entries with the Cristero motto, "Viva Cristo Rey!" — do these individuals not realize that they mock Christ as King by their very alignment with these seditious and heretical forces? If they had ever bothered to read the teachings of the popes on these matters they would perhaps have understood. Below we quote from Pope Pius XI, instituting the feast of Christ the King (*Quas primas*, 1925):

"Moreover, Christ Himself speaks of His own kingly authority: in His last discourse, speaking of the rewards and punishments that will be the eternal lot of the just and the damned; in His reply to the Roman magistrate, who asked Him publicly whether He were a king or not; after his Resurrection, when giving to His Apostles the mission of teaching and baptizing all nations, He took the opportunity to call himself king, confirming the title publicly, and solemnly proclaimed that all power was given Him in heaven and on earth. These words can only be taken to indicate

the greatness of his power, the infinite extent of his kingdom. What wonder, then, that he whom St. John calls the "prince of the kings of the earth" appears in the Apostle's vision of the future as He who "hath on his garment and on His thigh written 'King of kings and Lord of lords!"

(Apoc. 19: 16). It is Christ whom the Father 'hath appointed heir of all things; for he must reign until at the end of the world he hath put all his enemies under the feet of God and the Father...

"This kingdom is spiritual and is concerned with spiritual things. That this is so the above quotations from Scripture amply prove, and Christ by his own action confirms it. On many occasions, when the Jews and even the Apostles wrongly supposed that the Messiah would restore the liberties and the kingdom of Israel, he repelled and denied such a suggestion. When the populace thronged around him in admiration and would have acclaimed him King, he shrank from the honor and sought safety in flight. Before the Roman magistrate He declared that His kingdom was not of this world. The gospels present this kingdom as one which men prepare to enter by penance and cannot actually enter except by faith and by baptism, which, though an external rite, signifies and produces an interior regeneration. This kingdom is opposed to none other than to that of Satan and to the power of darkness. It demands of its subjects a spirit of detachment from riches and earthly things, and a spirit of gentleness. They must hunger and thirst after justice, and more than this, they must deny themselves and carry the cross."


And these Catholic haters have yet another problem: they somehow have to explain the cessation of the continual sacrifice prophesied in the Book of Daniel. As one Protestant site reads: "If the "daily sacrifices" are going to be stopped in the future, that means they must first be resumed!" This leaves them with only one option: they must believe that the Temple will be rebuilt and Old Testament animal sacrifices resumed. Only *THEN* will Antichrist come, to stop the celebration of these sacrifices. And calls to all faiths are being made to rebuild the Temple: "Responsible Jewish, Christian and Muslim leaders should use this recent wave of Islamic violence to immediately begin discussing practical and peaceful steps for the rebuilding of the Temple on the Temple Mount. It could be done without damaging or disrespecting the Dome of the Rock or Al Aqsa, as part of a future peace plan between Israel and her Arab neighbors to end the plague of Islamic terror once and for all" (*Israel Today*, April 2022).

Christ, the only true King of Zion

Is it really possible that Solomon's Temple could be rebuilt, and will this actually come to pass?

The Roman emperor Julian the Apostate tried to rebuild the temple in A.D. 363, but a series of unexplained events stopped the construction. "The Jews entered upon the undertaking, without reflecting that, according to the prediction of the holy prophets, it could not be accomplished... It is said that on the following day when they were about to lay the first foundation, a great earthquake occurred... many were caught thereby, some perished immediately... A more tangible and still more extraordinary prodigy ensued; suddenly the sign of the cross appeared spontaneously on the garments of the persons engaged in the undertaking. These crosses were disposed like stars, and appeared the work of art. Many were hence led to confess that Christ is God, and that the rebuilding of the temple was not pleasing to Him; others presented themselves in the church, were

initiated, and besought Christ, with hymns and supplications, to pardon their transgression" (Sozomen, ca. A.D. 375-447; *Ecclesiastical History*, Book V, Chapter XXII).



Another report states that "Fire came down from heaven and consumed all the builders' tools...," and that prior to the earthquake, "Cyril bishop of Jerusalem, called to mind the prophecy of Daniel, which Christ also in the holy gospels has confirmed, and predicted in the presence of many persons, that the time had indeed come 'in which one stone should not be left upon another in that temple,' but that the Saviour's prophetic declaration should have its accomplishment."(Socrates Scholasticus ca. A.D. 379-450) Ecclesiastical History Book III, Chapter XX). Clearly, despite what fantasies Protestants entertain, it is not God's will that the Temple be rebuilt. We read in Daniel: "There shall be in the Temple the abomination of desolation, and the desolation shall continue even to the consummation, and to the end." Does this sound like the Temple sacrifice will ever be restored? This world leader may show himself at the Temple site and

begin its rebuilding, but his arrival there will only signal the commencement of events that will culminate in the destruction of Antichrist's system.

Is it not a matter of wonder and awe, then, that as St. John describes in Ch. 19: 14-16, it is precisely when Christ comes to judge the great harlot and claim His Kingdom — to invite all to the wedding feast of the Lamb — that the words to condemn the beast are written on His thigh: *King of kings and Lord of lords!*? For, "The kings of the earth stood up and the princes met together against the Lord and against the Christ... He that dwelleth in heaven shall laugh at them and the Lord shall deride them. Then He shall speak to them in His anger and trouble them in His rage. *But I am appointed king by Him over Zion His holy mountain, preaching His commandment...*" (Ps. 2:1). And again in the Book of Wisdom:

"The almighty Word leapt down from heaven from Thy royal throne as a fierce conqueror into the midst of the world of destruction with a sharp sword, carrying Thy unmistakable commandment" (Wis. 18:14). The very words He uses to announce His glorious arrival will sound the death knell for that one who has dared to usurp His throne and will remind all that He alone is the true King of Zion. How they will tremble in terror when they realize He is coming to avenge His elect, and to destroy those who dare to speak and reign in His name. Then shall it be that at long last the final beast and his false prophet will be thrown into the lake of fire that has opened up when the

worldwide earthquake accompanying the battle of Armageddon erupts. Will it occur as he is being crowned, or after a bloody three-and-a half-year reign? This God alone knows, but the possibilities will be discussed at greater length below.

A Schizophrenic Generation

Owing to pernicious mind control techniques employed by their pseudo-clergy, as discussed at length elsewhere, Traditionalists have refused to address the demonstrable fact that John 23 was invalidly elected, automatically invalidating all succeeding elections. In doing this, were/are these men preparing Catholics for the "final chapter" — the revelation that their treasured faith was nothing more than an illusion from the beginning? Whoever among them is responsible for promoting the idea of white supremacy and Identity for the past several decades has laid the groundwork for convincing them that their real roots lay in their "heritage" as the "lost tribes of Israel;" their inheritance in the rebuilt Temple of Jerusalem, as "heirs to the promises" God made to the Chosen People in the Old Testament. When this NWO ruler arrives, Jesus will be written off as just another Old Testament prophet, the "first" messiah; a notion, along with the lost tribes nonsense, also taught by Mormonism.

As Heimbichner notes in his work, "The far more important side of government, however, is the esoteric, which at the highest level involves a manipulation of the mass consciousness with a watchful eye, by a network of psychological power brokers, the "Invisible Government of Wise and Ross," later described by Walter Bowart in his 1978 classic, Operation Mind Control, as the "Cryptocracy." The Cryptocracy is not a myth, it seeks to transform humanity through an alchemical processing of the mass consciousness or Group Mind which involves various tests and corresponding responses through channels linked to secret societies. The result is both psychological and cultural control; but more importantly, transformation." This is the result of the "double mind," Heimbichner's "Thelemic trance/alchemical-hypnotic process," better known to Catholics as the "operation of error to believe lies" (2 Thess. 2:10). And this mental aberration was already apparent to some even in the glorified 1940s-1950s Church. But that was just the beginning of the preparations for the reign of Zion's king — the use of satanically inspired mind control to deceive the elect that would later serve so well to corral those exiting Vatican 2. Traditionalists romanticized the Church's externals to appeal to the emotions, claiming apostolicity of origin and canonical mission apostolicity while refusing to acknowledge the absolute necessity of apostolicity of doctrine on which Christ founded His Church. An "operation" to promote error was already in place in the late 1940s, courtesy of the CIA, and others noticed the disturbing results, but it was too late to save the Church.

In 1945, C.J. Woolen wrote an article for the December *Homiletic and Pastoral Review* entitled "A Schizophrenic Generation." The article held that already in post-war America a condition existed among Catholics that effectively minimized sin and evil living by attributing its cause to a mental illness which Woolen described as the "split mind," or schizophrenia, known also today as the disassociative state. The predilection for this schizophrenia, he observes, is present in us all and needs only a conscious assent to take hold and completely replace any pre-existing ego state. Described effectively enough by St. Augustine in his *City of God*, the citizen sojourning in this vale of tears simply makes the decision to set his sails for a different port — the river Styx adjacent to Satan's kingdom rather than Heaven's safe harbor. A sort of convenient amnesia following this

radical change masks any uncomfortable pangs of conscience, making the transition a smooth one. Appropriately, *Woolen's suggested remedy for this condition was the mass exorcism of Catholic parishes worldwide*; he realized that what he was seeing was the undeniable result of satanic manipulation. Unfortunately these exorcisms never happened.

Woolen notes that this condition was known even to the pagans, whose gods saint Paul calls devils. He quotes from Aristotle's *The Republic* to prove it is the age-old struggle between good and evil, literally as old as Adam. In modern times, man's final descent into the pit of deliberate unknowing began with Liberalism/Americanism and concluded with secular humanism, the belief that man can become a god himself, perhaps even greater than the Triune God. The Liberals were the first to master the art of dissembling for public consumption while intending by mental reservation not to believe or comply with certain teachings of the Church. Will Herberger in his 1960s work, Protestant, Catholic, Jew coined a great term for it: "...religious narcissism, where the individual and his psycho-spiritual state is made to concentrate on its own navel." In other words, "It is not man who serves God, but God who is mobilized to serve man..." And faith is only a "sure fire way to get what we want." This adverse religion, then, is not a standard by which Catholics order their allegiance to America, family, the community, their jobs etc..., but vice versa. Civic and social allegiances dictate the role religion will play in most people's lives. This results in what Herberger describes as an incurable "civic idolatry." This lifestyle, led by those he labels as 'otherdirected" individuals constitutes an inversion of the natural order that perfectly accommodates the schizophrenic or "dual mind" mentality.

Rev. Bruce Vawter, in an article written for *The Commonweal* in 1964 calls this state religiosity — a "caricature of religion" projecting religious practice without religious conviction. (Religiosity, not religion, is probably the noun intended by the Communist, Lenin, in defining "the opiate of the people.") Identifying Americanism as the national religion of Catholics in his day, *Vawter concluded his article with the observation that the Christian religion, when identified with national values, can only be described as the worship of Antichrist.* Prior to the crisis in the Church, many of these "schizophrenics" didn't realize they were off course. Before, they hid under cover of external religion, protected by the largely Americanist, neo-Modernist hierarchy from too-close scrutiny. When the chaff separated from the wheat following Vatican 2, schizophrenic fence straddlers were forced to choose sides. Many remained with the Novus Ordo, but others were seduced by the Traditional Gnostic catholic movement, where ignorance of doctrinal matters kept them enslaved to their keepers.

Summary: The moment of Truth

"That seeing they may see, and not perceive; and hearing they may hear, and not understand: lest at any time they should be converted, and their sins should be forgiven them" (Matt. 13: 10-17). And from Rom. 11: 8: "As it is written: God hath given them the spirit of insensibility; eyes that they should not see; and ears that they should not hear..." Also Deuteronomy 29:4: "Yet to this day the Lord has not given you a mind to understand, eyes to see, or ears to hear." Just as the Jews were blind and deaf to the voices of their prophets, even putting them to death, just as they crucified Our Lord, so also those willingly deceived in these days.

If Novus Ordo members and Traditionalists continue in their blindness, they patently accept the Protestant scenario described above and participate in the final, wanton destruction of what little remains of the public's idea of a truly Catholic Church. This even though the handing over of "Vatican power" to the false messiah would be an even greater farce than these usurpers' collective "papacy." And recognizing Francis alone as *one* of these false popes is not enough; the advent of ecumenism and religious liberty was the legacy of John 23, whose election was demonstrably invalid on so many levels under the 1917 Code of Canon Law.

Basically those now doubting Francis and all those deceived by Traditionalist sects are a different sort of "election deniers." Despite the proven and irrefutable *facts*, they hold those elected against the law as their *lawful pastors*, when the Divine right to rule as Christ's appointed vicar, speaking with His voice, alone can be granted by the cardinals *according to the sacred canons* (DZ 570d, 674). Only a Roman Pontiff "*legitimately* elected... [by] competent ecclesiastical authority, in harmony with the Sacred canons" obtains such power (Canons 147, 219). And if they obtain it outside of Pope Pius XII's infallible teaching in *Vacantis Apostolicae Sedis*, it is null, void and invalid. "That understanding of sacred dogmas must be perpetually retained which Holy Mother Church has once declared *and there must never be recession from that meaning under the specious name of a deeper understanding*" (Vatican Council 1869-1870). And yet there has been, since Pius XII died nearly 65 years ago.

As shown above, all those sects and secret societies which advocated the restoration of the Jewish Temple and the reinstitution of animal sacrifices there were condemned by the Church. *ALL* secret societies, including the so-called "Catholic" secret societies were anathematized repeatedly from the 1700s until the death of Pope Pius XII. The Gallicanist heresy was condemned by Popes Alexander VIII and Pius VI (DZ 1322, 1598); also at the Vatican Council and by Pope Pius XII in 1943 (*Mystici Corporis Christi*). The errors of those belonging to the Novus Ordo and Traditionalist sects have been pointed out for nearly two decades at www.betrayedcatholics.
Numerous warnings have been issued regarding the close proximity of Christ's Second Coming. The article here discusses the battle of Armageddon that seems to be instigated by those of the Orient — China, Russia, et al; "Gog and Magog" (Apoc. 20:7; Ezech. 38: 2-3;) As Huchede explains, Gog and Magog can be identified with the Scythians, who the Encyclopedia Brittanica identifies as "...members of a nomadic people, originally of Iranian stock, known from as early as the 9th century B.C., who migrated westward *from Central Asia to southern Russia and Ukraine in the 8th and 7th centuries B.C.*" Clearly, this is now a distinct possibility.

A recent poll by Pew Research Center (for what it is worth) found a whopping 70 percent of Catholics and 65 percent of mainline Protestants do *NOT* believe these are the end times, which is precisely why Christ will come as a thief. If this poll is accurate, all it proves is that when Christ does come, few will be found praying and watching, having kept the faith. But the signs have been there all along, downplayed by Traditionalist pseudo-clergy and their followers. Having presented the vacancy or quasi-vacancy of the Holy See as a simple wrinkle in the Church's timeline for years, a wrinkle that will eventually work itself out and be resolved, they have managed to allay their followers' fears and perpetuate the hypothesis that the Church will be restored to her former splendor. But after surveying the fulfillment of the scriptural prophecies listed below, can anyone really accept this outcome?

- 1. Preaching of the Gospel in the whole world by Catholic missionaries and non-Catholics (perhaps not perfectly as in the case of Protestants evangelizing, but enough for those hearing to know the basics, at least, and search further.)
- 2. Bishops cooperating in/sympathizing with Modernism, religious liberty, ecumenism, and secret societies, even before the death of Pope Pius XII, (prelude to the Great Apostasy).
- 3. Pope Pius XII dies, and the Great Apostasy begins in earnest with the DEFECTION OF THE CARDINALS and the invalid election of an unworthy candidate Roncalli.
- 4. Roncalli usurps the papacy as prophesied by Pope Paul IV in his infallible bull Cum ex Apostolatus Officio. (Those councils condemning antipopes always referred to them as antichrists, as did St. Bernard Clairvaux in the case of antipope Anacletus.)
- 5. "He who withholdeth," the pope, is taken out of the way, and the flock eventually scatters. (Matt. 26:31, Zacharius13:7; 2 Thess. 2:6-7).
- **6.** *In due time the Man of Sin is revealed, heralded by the False Prophet, Roncalli.* (Apoc. Ch. 13; Book of Daniel).
- 7. He abrogates the Continual Sacrifice and invalidates the Sacraments (That Antichrist will cause the Sacrifice to cease is the unanimous opinion of the Fathers, verified as dogmatically certain by the Vatican Council, DZ 1788).
- 8. He desecrates the sanctuary and sets up an altar in front of the main altar for idol sacrifice, rendering the "temple" desolate.
- 9. He changes the laws and teachings of the Church; he does more than can be believed.
- 10. Paul 6 dies, but this wound is healed with the "election" of John Paul I, then John Paul II, perpetuating the satanic legacy of John 23 and Paul 6.
- 11. This leaves Antichrist's system in place, to continue until it is thrown into the lake of fire (Apoc. Ch. 19: 20).
- 12. Rome is destroyed spiritually and only false kings rule Vatican City.
- 13. False Christs and false prophets abound, not only among the Novus Ordo and Traditionalists but in non-Catholic sects and the secular world as well.
- 14. The most abominable impiety and immorality prevails and the family is torn apart.
- 15. There is distress among nations; wars and rumors of wars.
- 16. Plagues and natural disasters multiply: weather anomalies increase.
- 17. Signs appear in the Heavens.
- 18. Evil reaches new heights. (Future events yet unfulfilled):
- 19. The worldwide financial system crashes.
- 20. Riots, looting, murders, suicides, anarchy break out across the globe.
- 21. To resolve the growing problems worldwide, a New World Order religious leader is appointed to rule the universe.
- 22. He works in concert with Rome on the agreement that the Vatican will continue to participate in "Christian" worship and activities.
- 23. Enoch and Elias, if they are to come, would then appear to convert the Jews.
- 24. This final antichrist then is installed as King on Mt. Zion and orders the temple construction to begin.
- 25. And then shall it come upon them...

A quick note here on Enoch and Elias. Some Traditionalists seem to base their belief that Antichrist has not yet come on the fact that these Two Witnesses have not appeared to convert the Jews and

certain Gentiles among the non-Catholic sects. Yet commentators do not agree on when or even if they will arrive in person, or whether the Jews will convert over an extended period of time during the latter days or at the very end, as a group. In a matter as crucial as identifying the final antichrist, — whose head was Paul 6, the Man of Sin — we cannot rely on a probable opinion. This tracks back to certainty we must have involving matters of our salvation, dependent on rejecting this false messiah and saving our souls. Rev. Lemann, quoted by Rev. Denis Fahey in his The Kingship of Christ and the Conversion of the Jewish Nation, does not even mention Enoch and Elias in his evaluation of Antichrist's coming. He does rank as only probable, however, that Antichrist will reign three years and a half, which is the length of time the Two Witnesses are said to preach.

With the Church discredited as a fraud, will Catholics be forced to attend the services of Protestants, as they were during the Reformation? Will they be forbidden to practice devotions to Our Lady and pray the Rosary? Will even Fr. Crawley-Boevey's abbreviated form of the Mass be forbidden to them? Will worship in the home be prohibited? Interesting questions also are what exactly Protestants will then profess to believe. Will they accept this NWO leader as "legally designated" by the UN but not a religious figure? Will they recognize him at all? Even though they may agree with the dissolution of the papacy and accept the "fact" that Catholic belief is contrary to Christianity, what exactly *WILL* they then believe in? It seems safe to say this will be determined only by the event. But certainly not all believe they will be raptured out of this world to escape the tribulation, despite the popular *Left Behind* series. Polls of Protestant pastors show that only about one-third of them believe in the rapture, although nearly all believe in Antichrist and the Second Coming. But will they believe this man is their savior or antichrist?

That the papacy will be entirely rejected is a terrible punishment sent to Catholics who failed to love, defend and obey Christ's Vicar on earth. At all costs, those of us who never rejected the Roman Pontiffs, despite this antichrist's persecutions and what it may cost us, must persevere in our defense of the papacy and all that was ever Catholic. Most importantly, however, we must champion Christ's rights as the true head of this Church — King of Zion and of the entire world. All Catholics praying at home can do is cleave to the dual rock of Christ and St. Peter, and pray as one cardinal foretold we would pray:

"They will redouble their ardour in prayer, their energy in works, and their courage in combat so that their every word and work cries out together: Oh God, Our Father, who art in Heaven, Hallowed be Thy Name, on earth as it is in Heaven, Thy Kingdom come, on earth as it is in Heaven, Thy Will be done, on earth as it is in Heaven; *Sicut in coelo...et in terra!*" And they shall still be murmuring these words as the earth is snatched from beneath their feet. And just as of old, after a comparable calamity, the Roman Senate and every rank of the state once went forth to greet the conquered consul Varro on his return and to honour him for not despairing of the Republic ('...quod de re publica non desperasset...'), so shall the celestial senate, all the choirs of angels and all the ranks of the Blessed come out to welcome the generous athletes who have continued the combat to the end, hoping against hope itself, '...contra spem in spem...' (Romans 4:17). Come, Lord Jesus." (Cardinal Pie of Poitiers, 1815-1880). **VIVA CRISTO REY!**

And so it begins...

One World Religion Headquarters Opens



Here is the union of three monotheistic religions spoken of by Chamish!

The Abrahamic Family House: "...will be a beacon of mutual understanding, harmonious coexistence, and peace among people of faith and goodwill." It consists of a mosque, church, synagogue, and educational center built on Saadiyat Island, the cultural heart of Abu Dhabi in the United Arab Emirates. Through its design, it captures the values shared between Judaism, Christianity, and Islam, and serves as a powerful platform for inspiring and nurturing understanding and acceptance between people of goodwill. The vision for the Abrahamic Family House originated after the signing of a global peace covenant called the Document on Human Fraternity by Pope Francis and Sunni Muslim Grand Imam Ahmed Al-Tayeb in February 2019. It officially opened February 16, 2023. https://www.forhumanfraternity.org/abrahamic-family-house/.