

Was Pope John XXIII Really a Pope?

Cyril B. Andrade, M.D.

j

Several weeks ago I circulated the two attached papers providing strong evidence of Roncalli's (*alias* John XXIII) membership of a Masonic Order. Here now is further supportive evidence of this.

A French writer, Pier Carpi, in his book *Les Propriétés de Jean XXIII*, (1976), states that Angelo Roncalli took the name John XXIII, last used (1410-1415) by anti-Pope Baldassare Cossarobably because under the name (i.e., Baldassare Cossa) he (Roncalli) joined the Masonic *Rosicrucians* in Turkey (1935).

Further, Charles Riandey, a Masonic Sovereign Grand Master, contributed the following preface to *Ecumenism as seen by a Traditionalist Freemason* (Paris, 1969) by Yves Marsaudon, State Minister of the Superior Council of France (Scottish Rite):

“To the memory of Angelo Roncalli, priest, Archbishop of Messamaris, Apostolic Nuncio in Paris, Cardinal of the Roman Church, Patriarch of Venice, Pope under the name of John XXIII, who has deigned to give us his benediction, his understanding and his protection.” (Emphasis added)

From April 28, 1738 when Pope Clement XII issued his Pontifical Constitution, *In Eminenti*, condemning Freemasonry as being anti-Church and anti-State, until 1974 when the ban against membership of Catholics in Masonic Orders was lifted by the Church of Vatican II, some 230 years intervened during which time 9 Constitutions, 6 Encyclicals, 2 Allocutions and some 200 other documents were issued against Freemasonry by 8 Popes.

Yet in 1969, in the *Preface* by a Masonic Sovereign Grand Master to a book by another high Mason, it is stated that Roncalli has deigned to give the Freemasons his benediction, understanding and protection. What interpretation can any true, honest Catholic place on this gratuitous, fulsome recommendation given by Roncalli to Freemasonry, but that he was a Freemason himself?

1. What are the consequences to the Church of Roncalli's Freemasonry? A Freemason is not a Catholic — never mind a cardinal — and therefore not eligible for election to the papacy.
2. Not being a pope, all his appointments were invalid, specifically all his promotions to the cardinalate, including that of Montini, and the enlargement of the Sacred College from seventy to one hundred seventeen.
3. He had no power or authority to convene the Second Vatican Council or any other Council.
4. Montini, elected by an illegally packed conclave, was ineligible for election to the papacy and had no authority to reconvene the Council, even if it had been legally convened in the first place.

5. Montini's replacement of the Mass and Sacraments as well as his further packing of the formerly Sacred College was even less valid than Roncalli's preliminary assault on the Mass.

Mirabile dictu, for more than some 30 years now, some 800 millions of so-called Catholics, ignorant of their faith, have blindly followed a Council which unveiled a heretical Church and promulgated an invalid "New Order of the Mass" (truly a *Novus Bogus Ordo*) which is nothing but a Protestant communion service and which is accepted and used as such by Protestant theologians who do not believe in the Real Presence of Christ in the Holy Eucharist.

In a previously circulated paper "The New Mass is Invalid", one of the many reasons for invalidity I mentioned was that the *Preface* of the Fourth Eucharistic Prayer — one of the several such prayers that have replaced what used to be the Canon of the Mass in pre-Vatican II times — contained these words: "Father in Heaven, you alone are God". (Denial of the Blessed Trinity)

Before Vatican II, all Catholics were taught, even in kindergarten, that there are three Persons in God: the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost, each being God. Now Vatican II tells us that only the Father is God, thus saying in unequivocal terms that Christ, God the Son, is not God. Also, the Third Person of the Blessed Trinity is not God.

This heresy, i.e., the denial of the divinity of Christ & the Blessed Trinity, was raised in the first century by Cerinthus and the Ebionites; in the second century by the Monarchianists, and in the fourth century by Arius (the Arian heresy). This heresy was condemned by the Church on each of these occasions.

Yet now, the new counterfeit church of Vatican II has revived this heresy and it has been swallowed, hook line and sinker, by some 800 millions of so-called Catholics. St. Paul prophesied a "Great Apostasy" in the latter days and this must surely be it, for today more Catholics have embraced Vatican II and its heresies than the 80% who embraced the Arian heresy in the fourth century.

Broadly speaking, heretics fall into two groups:

1. material heretics who hold heretical views from ignorance of the faith and so are inculpable before God, and
2. formal heretics who know they are heretics yet cling to their heresies and are therefore culpable before God.

Is it possible to believe that those ostensible Catholics who accept Eucharistic Prayer IV, which denies the divinity of Christ, are merely material heretics, inculpable before God, and not culpable formal heretics?! The very idea is absurd. What profound theological knowledge is required to know this denial of Christ's divinity, on which the entire Catholic Faith rests, is unadulterated heresy.

/Originally written in about 1976 — scanned into electronic format in 2009