

# **Tridentine Mass Out – Every Abomination In**

Reports herein noted of sample abominations, as compiled by

*James Lees-Milne*

## **I. Report in Jan 1976 issue of *Fatima International***

The report states that no one can force us to receive Holy Communion standing up. The same report goes on to say: “Of course this ruling applies also to the reception of Holy Communion in the hand. Nobody may be coerced to receive Holy Communion in this manner. Innumerable sacrileges have been reported as a result of this practice. The fact that it originated in Holland, the land of doctrinal and liturgical chaos, is sufficient indication of the diabolical inspiration behind it.

## **II. Report by Simon Keegan in an edition of the Newsletter of the *International Catholic Priest Association***

This Newsletter is published in England. Keegan describes the scene in St. Peter’s Basilica in Rome on the previous Pentecost, when ten thousand ‘Pentecostals’, led by Belgian Cardinal Leo Suenens, came for a Eucharistic celebration.

Keegan wrote . . .

“ In the vast crowd that filled the Basilica, it was not uncommon to see, what one first thought were “white petals” being scattered among the congregation. Only when I could push my way nearer, did I realize that they were handfuls of consecrated Hosts that the Cardinal’s priests were scattering among the crowd to speed up Communion. They fell on the shoulders of men, on the dyed and coverless heads of women and, as was inevitable, not a few fell on the ground and were trampled by the crowd. I spoke to a lady standing near me who was gobbling a number of them (hosts) together. I asked her where she came from and was she a Catholic? She came from Egypt, she replied, and in fact had no religious persuasion, but her feelings were in favor of Mohammedism, to which her family belonged, but her fiancé was an Anglican and she found Pentecostalism “quite exciting.”  
(End of Keegan report)

God have mercy on us! Is this not outright, damnable sacrilege of the first order, perpetrated by a heretical “Cardinal” and his heretical clerical minions, in the very heart of Christianity, under the very nose of the “Pope” who must have approved, for Suenens was not disciplined?

## **III. Traditionalist Mass Outlawed, But Not a Buddhist Cult**

This was the title of a report in the *Itinéraires*’ “Supplement-Voltigeur”, No. 48, May 15, 1977. That report is quoted in part as follows:

“It is Monday, March 7, 1977, in the Church of St. Germain in Rennes, the most ancient and most beautiful church in the diocese. His Eminence Cardinal Gouyon has authorized an unforgettable memorial to St. Thomas Aquinas, Doctor of the Faith.

“It is 9 p.m. precisely by the clock in the church tower. An unusual “Congregation” has thronged into the church, vacant-eyed, chewing gum or smoking cigarettes, while noisily awaiting arrival of the celebrants. The arches of the sanctuary are completely hidden by multi-colored banners stretching from pillar to pillar, as in the pagodas of Tibetan monasteries. On the High Altar, denuded of all Christian ornament, the white statue of Buddha is installed on an illuminated throne, surrounded by unfamiliar offerings, while exotic odors fill the air, odors previously unknown in such a place. If a Catholic passing through Rennes went into the church for a short visit to the Blessed Sacrament, he would suddenly realize he has mistaken both the church and the religion.

“It was without any hesitation,” bellows the Abbé Pitard, parish priest of the church, “that we agreed to the request of the Ministry of Culture to allow the religious concerts to be held in our church, necessitating, as they do, an atmosphere not found in a theatre or concert hall. For us it is a concrete demonstration of the ‘opening’ envisaged by Vatican II, on the one hand an ‘opening’ to non-Christian religions, especially to Hinduism and Buddhism, and on the other hand, to Christian liturgies of diverse denominations.”

“Concert” ..... the statue of <i>Buddha</i> replacing the <i>Crucifix</i> in the very heart of the Church? “Opening” ..... the offerings to the god, <i>Cukya Muni</i> , and his wise men of Nirvana, on the altar where Christ renews for us His Holy Sacrifice?
--

But why not cry it aloud for all to hear: what an ignominious complacency he now shows in the face of the consummation of his sacrilege, this bishop who has formally proscribed the traditional Catholic Mass in all the Churches of his diocese; who has refused children the teaching of what is essential for their salvation; who has handed over his colleges to the State, and has restyled his seminaries to conform to the world.... to replace the crucifix on the High Altar of St. Germain at Rennes with a statue of the god of Buddha, and in the presence of a large audience, to allow the Church’s Feast of St. Thomas Aquinas to be celebrated by Tibetan monks! “It would be interesting to know,” writes a reporter of the paper *Quest France*, “whether this encounter with Christianity, which formerly would have been inconceivable, but which seems normal today, received general approbation.”

May I suggest to my fellow journalist (writes *Itinéraires*) that he put the same question to those Catholics in his diocese who hurry to the shed-like chapel of the Association of St. Pius V at Rennes in order to attend Mass. Let him question especially those to whom the Bishop forbids entry to a Catholic service at a time when they need the last rites for a father, a wife or a child who died in the Faith of the Church. These will have a very clear view of this ecumenical initiative of March 7, last, which allowed the altar to be used for a Buddhist service, the altar so pitifully refused to so many Catholic coffins.

And since Msgr. Gouyon no longer uses the Church of St. Germain for Catholic worship, I would suggest that he confide it to the care of the priests of the Association of St. Pius V, so that it can be used once again in the service of Jesus Christ. In any case, some day reparation will

have to be made before God, and before men, for the abominable offence to the Body and Blood of Our Lord by the local clergy. For the moment, only one thing is certain: Mass can no longer be celebrated there.

#### **IV. An abridged letter published in the *London Times* of 3 Mar 1976**

Sir,

There takes place in the Tuscan city of Arezzo, on the first Sunday of every month, what is called a “fiera antiquaria”, an open air sale of antiques on an immense scale. I attended the last sale at which the regional journal, *Nazione*, claimed that 400 vendors were assembled.

I do not think that I am exaggerating in stating that far more than half of the antiques for sale had come from churches. Among them I saw such objects as large and small statues of Christ, Our Lady and the saints; candlesticks and candelabra galore; holy crowns and coronals; chalices, paxes, pyxes, and thuribles; crucifixes, illuminated missals, holy books of every sort and century; embroidered vestments, silver doors of tabernacles, gold sarcophaguses, reliquaries containing martyrs’ remains; stations of the cross; votive offerings in the shape of silver hearts, legs, arms and limbs made in gratitude for miraculous cures; jewelry which formerly adorned images; altar rails and altars — in other words, hundreds if not thousands of things given by pious persons to embellish their favorite churches, and render thanks, homage and love to God.

In consequence the churches — not just those in Tuscany, be it understood, but all over Italy and France, to my certain knowledge — are being despoiled of whatever contributes to their beauty and serves to kindle the Faith.

These things are not being stolen from churches. They are being sold by the incumbents of the churches on the deliberate instructions of the bishops and hierarchy of the Roman Catholic Church.

In other words, Catholic churches by the thousands are being systematically impoverished spiritually and aesthetically to absolutely nobody’s benefit. Such zealous philistinism has not been witnessed since the iconoclasm of the eighth and ninth centuries, perpetrated by the Monophysites and the Manicheans.

... The iconoclasts of the eighth-ninth centuries were heretics, whom the Church quite properly condemned. The philistines of today are the Church itself. And the protesters like myself are deemed heretics.

Never before in the long history of Christianity have the faithful been so betrayed by their pastors.

James Lees-Milne  
19 Lansdown Crescent  
Bath  
England

---

**Originally written in about 1976 — scanned into electronic format in 2009**