

The Mystery of Iniquity: Paul 6, Lawless One

© Copyright 1989, T. Stanfill Bennis

“How then shall the Scriptures be fulfilled, that so it must be done?” (Matt. 26:54)

Christ’s words in the Garden of Gethsemane at the time of His arrest are placed, chronologically speaking, in a time frame parallel to our own. It doesn’t matter whether we believe the Passion is at present renewed in His Mystical Body, or even if we consider ourselves to be somewhere in time between His death on the Cross and His Resurrection. These solemn words of Our Lord, addressed to the Apostle who severed the ear of Caiphas’ servant, should give abundant food for thought.

St. Jerome tells us that everything written in the New Testament was foreshadowed in the Old Testament. Many Catholics, despite their awareness that Christ’s birth and death are predicted in the Old Testament, tend to regard it as past history. This is partly in reaction to the Protestant emphasis on Old Testament books and the Novus Ordo emphasis on Protestant interpretations of the Bible. But unless we strive to overcome these prejudices clouding our understanding, it will prevent us from opening our eyes sufficiently to see just how completely Scripture prophecy has been fulfilled in these days, and fulfilled in ways we may sometimes prefer NOT to understand.

Such is the case concerning the abomination of desolation, standing in the holy place where it ought not to be. Both the Old and New Testament treat of this great dishonor to God, and apply the word abomination to several different types of serious sin. Proud, deceitful men; wicked shepherds, liars, detractors, idolaters; and those engaging in forbidden sexual relations are referred to as abominations. But most specially intended as abominable in the Scripture texts is any unclean or unworthy sacrifice, or an acceptable sacrifice offered before idols. So while the abomination of desolation can refer to several events at once, or several events that occurred throughout history, this essay will consider the abomination primarily:

1. as spiritual leaders, also recognized as world leaders, who nurse ambitions for world domination and suppression of religion
2. as false or idolatrous worship celebrated in temples or Churches consecrated to the true God.
3. the inseparable nature of one and two in time and place, since someone must both place the abomination on the altar of sacrifice and persecute those who will not worship it.

And secondarily as:

- As lies substituted for truth

- As false shepherds passing for good shepherds
- As the impure cohabiting with or displacing the pure

"The abomination of desolation, standing in the holy place where it ought not to be" is, in its literal sense, "an idol... set up in the sanctuary of the Jewish Temple," (footnote to Douay-Rheims Bible, 1899 edition, John Murphy Co., Baltimore, MD). Scriptural scholars unanimously apply all the prophecies of Daniel to the Church at the time of Antichrist; therefore the abomination of desolation in Christian times must be an idol set up in the sanctuary of Catholic Churches. The Catholic Encyclopedia (Vol. 1) reports that this term can be taken in a concrete form as well, "referring to a person, a 'ravager', or even as a participial noun, (he) 'that maketh desolate.'" The Latin root of desolation means to forsake, and Webster's Collegiate Dictionary defines desolate as "deprived of inhabitants, laid waste, left alone, forsaken." Especially intended as abominable in the Scripture texts is any unclean or unworthy sacrifice, or an acceptable sacrifice offered before idols. Pope Paul IV, however, in his 1559 Bull Cum ex Apostolatus Officio reminded us of the *ultimate* meaning of this passage. We will review the various meanings below and end with this pope's definitive pronouncement on Daniel's prophecy.

Other types of abomination

Rev. Haydock, in his commentary on Daniel 9:27 said some interpret the desolation to be the crimes of the Jews and the blood shed by the Zealots. Others, the ensigns and standards of the pagan Roman soldiers posted in the temple under Titus. Certainly the crimes, especially of high-placed Catholics, fouled the Churches they later entered without benefit of public penance or denunciation of their deeds. This went on for decades, long before the subversion of the Church was evident. Modern-day Zealots might be interpreted as those who bomb abortion clinics or take justice into their own hands, calling to mind the Gunpowder Plot against Queen Elizabeth - the acts of "Catholic" terrorists, as it were. The ensigns and standards of the Romans and the setting up of Caesar in the temple by Pilate are especially telling, symbolizing, perhaps, the democratization of the Catholic Church, particularly in America. This began in the late 19th century, with the advent of the Americanist heresy condemned by Pope Leo XIII.

Haydock then went on to explain that theologians distinguish three separate periods of desolation: the profanation of the temple under Antiochus, the destruction of the temple by the Romans in 70 A.D., and the last near the end of the world, at the time of Antichrist. Haydock stated in his commentary on Matt. 24:15 that complete fulfillment of the temple profanations and cessation of the Jewish sacrifice in the Old Testament will be realized only with Antichrist's reign, when the Holy Sacrifice of the Mass is suspended. St. Jerome wrote as follows on this topic: "It is possible to apply this text easily to either the Antichrist, to the statue of Caesar which Pilate placed in the Temple or even to the equestrian statue of Hadrian which down to this present day stands on the very site of the holy of holies. In the Old Testament, however, the term abomination is applied deliberately to idols. To identify it further, 'of desolation,' is added to indicate that the idol was placed in a desolate or ruined temple. The abomination of desolation can be taken to mean as well every perverted doctrine. When we see such a thing stand in the

holy place, that is in the Church and pretend it is God, we must flee...," (Breviary Lesson for the 24th and Last Sunday after Pentecost). It was St. Jerome who taught that all that is contained in the Old Testament only prefigures what appears in the New Testament. Following Vatican II, the "temples" or churches were stripped of all their former beauty and "modernized" in the headlong rush into hedonism that followed the false council. Montini knew this was a necessary preparation for the setting up of bread idols and the Novus Ordo liturgy.

Father of the Church John Cassian said in his Conferences: "For sometimes when a difference of opinion is expressed on one and the same subject, either view may be considered reasonable and be held without injury to the faith either firmly, or doubtfully, i.e., in such a way that neither is full belief nor absolute rejection accorded to it, and the second view need not interfere with the former, if neither of them is found to be opposed to faith: as in the case: where Elias came in the person of John, (Matthew 11:14) and is again to be the precursors of the Lord's Advent: and in the matter of the Abomination of Desolation, which stood in the holy place, by means of that idol of Jupiter which, as we read, was placed in the temple in Jerusalem, and which is again to stand in the Church through the coming of Antichrist, (See Daniel 9:27; 2 Machabees 4:2; Matthew 24:15ff); and all those things which follow in the Gospel, which we take as having been fulfilled before the captivity of Jerusalem and still to be fulfilled at the end of this world. In which matter neither view is opposed to the other, nor does the first interpretation interfere with the second."

Daniel predicted that Antichrist would establish the abomination of desolation in the Holy Place, setting himself and/or his chosen idols before the very altar. Rev. Haydock, commenting on Matt. 24:15 stated that: "The prophet Daniel calculates the reign of Antichrist *from the time that the daily sacrifice shall be taken away.*" (italics his); "which by able commentators is understood of the Sacrifice of the Mass." Only the Son of Perdition himself, *the* Antichrist, could accomplish this dreadful deed. The Novus Ordo mandated by Montini was to be celebrated on an Anglican altar as a memorial meal; an altar erected opposite the altar once reserved exclusively for the renewal of Christ's Passion. On this altar, where no true sacrifice can be offered any more than it is offered in Anglican services, mere bread is adored as God, because no valid consecration ever take place. Christ's words falsified in the Consecration of the wine is in itself an abomination. The very fact that men not ordained true priests profane the altars once dedicated to God (in older churches) is an abomination. Catholics missed entirely the analogy of the setting up of this abominable sacrifice to the "setting up" of an antipope as a true pope, "standing where he ought not to be." And in every NO service — in many "Traditionalist" services in fact — this other abomination is invoked in the canon, yoking the two in iniquity. Clearly this is a dual symbol of unity broken, placing the heretical antipope abomination personally in attendance at the false altar of sacrifice.

It is in Scripture that we find the strongest condemnations of "bread idols" and the rites that produce them. In [The Divine Armory](#), an extensive cross-reference of Scripture quotations compiled by Rev. Kenelm Vaughan, we find the following under the heading, "Names and Types of the False Christs:" *bread idols, the bread of deceit, the bread of*

lying, bread of wickedness, wheat bringing forth thorns, profitless wheat, the two iniquities [bread and wine], unacceptable holocaust, a sin graven on the horns of the altar, wicked gifts, and so forth, all with a corresponding Scripture verse. Vaughan even includes as a type of false Christ, (and an unconsecrated host believed to have been consecrated IS a false Christ) the name of Daniel's unknown God, Maozim. Here also is listed as connected to this topic the sin of desolation and the abomination of desolation, both mentioned in Daniel. One of the most striking quotes Vaughan cites is the following, taken from Ezek. 13:19: "They violated Me among my people for a piece of bread, telling lies to My people that believe lies," and this could apply to Protestants, Novus Ordo believers and Traditionalists alike. Preceding the section on bread idols is a lengthy treatment of the faithless Israelites led astray by the pastors "who have destroyed My vineyard" and "profaned My sanctuary upon earth." Clearly a false pope also is a type of false Christ, since a true Pope is Christ's Vicar on earth, ruling in His stead.

The abomination as a specific person

Pope Paul IV provided us with absolute certitude concerning the specific meaning of abomination in these evil times in his papal Bull, Cum ex Apostolatus Officio. In a papal definition of a verse in Holy Scripture within this Bull, Paul IV tells us: "We must see attentively to driving away from Christ's fold those, who...driven by malice and trusting in their own wisdom, rebel against the rule of right Faith and strive to render the Lord's seamless robe by corrupting the sense of Holy Scriptures with cunning inventions...The Vicar of God and of Jesus Christ can be corrected if he is apprehended straying from the Faith...lest it befall us to see in the holy place the abomination of desolation spoken of by Daniel the prophet, We wish...to drive the wolves from the sheepfolds, lest We seem to be silent watchdogs unable to bark...or be likened to a hireling." Pope John XXII accepted correction for his error on the heavenly beatitude, which had not yet been defined during his reign. This Pope meant by these words that if a Pope should ever refuse to be corrected, or define as truth that which is false, then he is the abomination along with all those heretics who assist him. Pope Paul's Bull largely concerned Kings, Emperors and Princes, also lesser member of the hierarchy. So *the* "holy place" was not intended unless in connection with the sacred functions. Clearly Pope Paul intended that very abomination Daniel intended for the latter days, an abomination that corresponded to an individual.

First we read that Pope Paul is concerned that Holy Scripture has been corrupted. Why? In his day, the Lutherans and others were spreading the heresy that a Pope and/or the hierarchy could fall into heresy and fulfill the prophecies concerning Antichrist. He wished at all costs to condemn this heresy so destructive of unity that it rent "the Lord's seamless robe." And so he went to great pains to renew all the censures against heresy, apostasy and schism and to explain how such a thing could *appear* to happen. He points out that all those who prove to be heretics after promotion to an office were most certainly heretics prior to their promotion and only appeared to validly assume the office. In fact, he teaches, their heresy made them incapable of ever attaining such an office for no heretics can ever be advanced to the hierarchy, and especially to the Primacy of St. Peter. Should this so happen, all must abandon obedience to such evil men and seek new

pastors. Driving wolves from the sheepfolds refers specifically to the clergy. Even as a cardinal, it fell to Pope Paul to do just that.

As Pietro Cardinal Caraffa, he organized the Inquisition in order to combat the Protestant heresies, especially that of Lutheranism. He opposed the vices then rampant among the clergy, and later re-instituted the Inquisition as Pope to combat clerical abuses. The warnings of St. Vincent Ferrar, not too long distant must have rung in his ears as he faced the ravages of the Protestant Reformation. He saw what he believed to be the beginning of the Great Apostasy, the subversion of the faith, the defection of civil rulers and the deceits even of his own relatives in the service of the papacy. He believed the clergy were in grave need of reform, and even the Church historian Rev. Philip Hughes, Paul IV's avid critic admitted that this pope saved the Church from a clerical crisis. He issued the infallible Cum ex, the law used as the foundation for Can. 188§4 concerning automatic dismissal of clerics for heresy, apostasy and schism. All this provides the necessary background of time and circumstance required by Canons 18 and 23 for a better understanding of the history of the law and the mind of the lawgiver. Pope Paul IV knew very well what he was doing. He knew that eventually all biblical prophecy must be fulfilled, if not in his day then at some time in the future. And he knew that if he did not speak out as pope to at least do all in his power to prevent or delay this event, he would be held accountable for his negligence by Our Lord Himself.

Pope Paul IV witnessed only "the beginning of sorrows;" we have witnessed their culmination. While he believed he perceived a genuine danger in the ambitions and behavior of Cardinal Giovanni Morone, a man he suspected of heresy who was actively campaigning for the papacy, history is divided on this point. Some believe Paul IV had good reason to suspect Morone at the time, even if these reasons were later found to be unjustified. Whether he perceived correctly or not is not important. What is important is that Cum ex was written in preparation for the inevitable, whenever it might take place — the coming of the abomination of desolation according to the teaching of the Fathers and the saints.

Roncalli, false prophet

It would be enough to identify Roncalli as a heretic and; hence, an anti-pope. It would be enough to discredit him and effectively disqualify him as an unworthy candidate in 1958, but would it be enough to "give the devil his due?" We think not. For Roncalli was far more than just a simple heretic; his unprecedented acceptance by the world at large (the press, the progressives, the various governments) indicate that even before his election, he was recognized as a left-winger, a benevolent brother, a collaborator. He was designated as a *papa di passaggio*, a "transitional pope," even by the various factions who elected him and those who later supported him. Meriol Trevor writes: "Someone was wanted who would restore all the working machinery of the Vatican, after the unhappy experience of the last years of Pius XII; someone who would not be too managerial and would not, to put it bluntly, last too long and so queer the pitch for the probable succession of Montini.... Montini, the obvious candidate, was not a member of the Sacred College." (p. 243). And so, Montini's old friend, Angelo, was elected solely for the purpose of making him a cardinal, which Pius XII had refused to do following the scan-

dalous Sturzo affair. The plans to elect such a transitional Pope were made under the very nose of Pius XII who, as we have noted in the last section, was quite possibly held against his will by various enemy "stooges."

Roncalli's behavior was consistent with that of a man who had received a mission. Knowing the extent of masonic influence and infiltration in the Vatican, it has undoubtedly crossed the minds of many good Catholics that Brother Angelo was carrying out the orders of the Satanic Pontiff, the equivalent of Pope of all masonry. Piers Compton, in his book *The Broken Cross*, relates the alleged initiation of Roncalli into the masonic lodge of the Rose Croix. Pier Carpi's book *The Prophecies of John XXIII*, divulges that Roncalli took the name "Johannes" at his initiation, which apparently took place while he served as Apostolic Delegate to Istanbul, Turkey, in 1935. The connection of Roncalli with the Rosicrucians could perhaps be put aside if it were not for the fact that many of Roncalli's subsequent actions can be seen to confirm such an affiliation. His remark concerning the unimportance of baptism and conversion in the case of the Jews he helped to escape during World War II certainly is in keeping with masonic doctrine. And his later appointment as Nuncio to France, combined with his anti-Catholic behavior there, provides us with a clue to the source of his masonic affiliations.

In his work *Satan in the Modern World*, Monsignor L. Cristiani quotes the heretic and satanist Giovanni Papini as having written: "...the promised land of Satanism is France."(!) (p. 164.) How can it be that the eldest daughter of the Church should become the promised land of Satanism? The answer lies in the history of France and the pervasive influence of Albigensianism or Catharism, which took much deeper root there than was ever realized by the Church. That Albigensianism became the basis for freemasonry is documented in the book *Holy Blood. Holy Grail*. (Delacourte Press; 1982.) This book presents no less than a believable collection of heretical horrors that is far and away the best exposition and condemnation of the secret societies ever written, although it is presented as a vindication of that particular ruling force of all the secret societies: the Priory of Sion. It will be sufficient here to offer a brief synopsis of this organization, its history and its aims, since a detailed analysis of it is beyond the scope of this book.

The Priory first came into existence with the creation of the Knights Templar in 1090, by one Godfroi deBoullion. Its official headquarters was Notre Dame du Mont de Sion in Jerusalem. This occurred nine years before the founding of the Knights Templar by Hugues de Payon, a vassal of the count of Champagne. How the two were connected is not clear from history, but regardless of their connection, the Priory remained a vital and moving force, which operated underground once the Templars were condemned and disbanded, and has grown phenomenally in power and influence over the centuries. In order to properly understand the premises on which the Priory bases its operation, it is necessary to delve somewhat into the history behind their devilish beliefs. *Holy Blood, Holy Grail*, relates that the Templars and the Priory were created to protect and reestablish on the throne of France an ancient bloodline. This hideous bloodline is said to be that of Christ and Mary Magdalene. The Priory legend has it that Mary Magdalene escaped to France with Joseph of Arimathea and Jesus, who did not die on the cross, but falsified his entire death and resurrection. (It is reluctantly, and with great sorrow, that we

relate such blasphemy and vile heresy, even in way of proof.) This "bloodline" then, supposedly, was continued through the line of Merovingian kings, who ruled from the fifth to seventh century in an area known as the Languedoc. This area is said to have been the site of a Jewish colony even prior to the destruction of the temple in Jerusalem, and subsequent exodus of many of the Jews from the Holy Land to other areas of the world.

The Merovingian kings were surrounded by mystery. They were never crowned or anointed, but were considered kings as if by divine right, on the advent of their twelfth birthday. They were known as the sorcerer or thaumatergic kings. They were "...occult adepts, initiates in arcane sciences, and practitioners of the esoteric arts ...they were said to be capable of clairvoyant or telepathic communication ...miraculous curative powers ...and bore a distinctive birthmark which distinguished them from all other men, and ...which attested to their semi-divine or sacred blood." (Holy Blood, Holy Grail, p. 210.) Although this book keeps the reader in suspense forever, it is not long before it becomes apparent that this "bloodline" the Templars and the Priory protect to this day is heretically presented as that of Christ and Mary Magdalene. Eventually, the Merovingians intermarried with nearly all the royal bloodlines of Europe, which makes their descendants "divine" as well. This inheritance is said to be especially centered in the British and German thrones. In fact, British Israelism, currently known as the Aryan Nations or "Identity" movement, can be seen to allude to this fact, since it suggests that the ancient bloodline of David is continued in the succession to Britain's throne. In his encyclical addressed to German Catholics, *With Burning Anxiety*, Pius XI wrote in condemnation of Aryanism: "He who takes the race, or the people, or the state ...out of the system of their earthly valuation and makes them the ultimate norm of all ...defiles them with an idolatrous worship and perverts and falsifies the order of things created and commanded by God. Only superficial minds can lapse into the heresy ... of trying to confine within the boundaries of a single people, within the narrow bloodstream of a single race, God, the Creator of the world."

It has been speculated that Hitler was linked to the Priory in some way, and may even have attempted to secede from it, thus bringing the wrath of Europe on his head. But if he attempted to secede, it was not owing to his moral sense, but rather to his burning desire to rule all and be subject to none. The upper echelon Nazis were immersed in the Grail legends and pre-Christian religious practices. (The "Search for the Holy Grail" is a veiled allegory imitative of the Gospel parables. It is used by the degrees of masonry to symbolize the search for man who became God; i.e., the bloodline. This is the mirror reverse of God's Son, who as God, assumed a human body.) In her book *The Hidden Dangers of the Rainbow*, Constance Cumbey relates that Hitler was influenced early in his school years by the abbot of a Benedictine monastery school he attended near his home. The abbot "...was fascinated by the lore of the Albigensians or Cathars, who could probably be accurately classified as early New Agers, in that they believed man could gain the powers of a god." It was here, Cumbey notes, that Hitler "...was initiated into the finer mysteries of the occult." (p. 100.) Cumbey further instructs us, on page 102, as to the origin of the "Aryan" nations obsession of Hitler. She writes: "...one of the seven Atlantean races was that of the Aryans ...the Aryans were the master race, or supermen of the Atlantean races."a. As we have already learned, the Jewish settlement to which Mary

Magdalene and Jesus "fled," produced the Merovingian kings and the "bloodline" eventually. The founding of this line of kings has behind it a myth. Supposedly, a queen pregnant by her husband went swimming in the ocean and was impregnated a second time by some sort of sea creature. When the child Merovie (founder of the Merovingian dynasty) was born, the blood of both fathers ran in his veins. This could allude to an intermingling of Atlantean blood, for as Cumbey notes, the Atlanteans were known to have "...magical powers over ...nature and psychic development." (p. 100.) This would then account for the psychic and healing powers attributed to the Merovingians, who like Samson, wore their hair long and credited it as the secret of their power. (The sea creature might also have been Leviathon, the "sea serpent," spoken of in Isaias 27:1 and elsewhere, and identified in the Douay-Rheims's footnote to this text as the Devil.) However it is interpreted, it necessarily implies a link between the New Age and the Priory; masonry and the New Age, and the Albigenses or Cathars as the progenitors of all the above.

Can all this somehow be traced to Roncalli and/or Montini? Cumbey, an evangelical Protestant lawyer, fingered Roncalli in 1983 (*Hidden Dancers of the Rainbow*), when she wrote: "If there has been a single Catholic Pope who permitted the New Ager's plan to take root within the Roman Catholic Church, it was Pope John XXIII, who is spoken of reverentially by New Agers and 'Catholic' modernists." (p. 160.)

This mammoth conspiracy has obviously covered all bases. Today, it is headquartered somewhere in France, probably the Languedoc region. Its surname indicates that it may have successfully penetrated all of the Catholic sects and factions, for it is given as Chivalry of Catholic Rules and Institutions of the Independent and Traditionalist Union or CIRCUIT, from a Priory publication of the same name. It is also called "The Rose-Croix Veritas." Its meetings and method of operation are shrouded in secrecy, but since 1956, the Priory has been said to have experienced phenomenal growth. It has also been connected with the society known as "Hieron du Val d'Or," characterized by the authors of *Holy Blood, Holy Grail* as being "...more Catholic than the Pope..." but which, in fact, attempted to fuse Catholicism and masonic principles. *Action Francais*, condemned by Pius XI, who placed all books published by its proponents on the Index, was no less than an attempt to place a Merovingian king on the throne of France. Today's attempt to consolidate Europe politically and economically into a "United States of Europe," is but a studied effort to create a modern empire to be ruled by "the descendants of Jesus."(!) From *Holy Blood, Holy Grail*, we read: "This dynasty could not only occupy a throne of political power, but quite conceivably, the throne of St. Peter," (p.385.) Could the occupant of any such throne really be a pope, or would he rule a kingdom other than Christ's?

For those who loudly proclaim that Roncalli was a dear, sweet man and is being libeled; or sneeringly state that "no one can prove" he was a freemason, we would like to observe that masonry operates secretly for a reason. That reason is obvious: no one will then be able to determine who is on the up and up. For our purposes, we must content ourselves with the fact that Roncalli is a heretic, but the following information should prove he was, without a doubt, more.

1. Since the Priory's inception, according to *Holy Blood, Holy Grail*, "...every grand master, on assuming his position, has adapted the name Jean (French for John) ... This succession was clearly intended to imply an Hermetic papacy based on John, in contrast (and perhaps, opposition) to the esoteric one based on Peter ... Roncalli caused considerable consternation when he chose the name John XXIII, anathematized since it was last used ... by an anti-pope ... In 1958 (Jean) Cocteau held the grand mastership ... (when) Pope Pius XII died ... and the assembled cardinals elected Roncalli. ... Cocteau did not die until 1963." (pp. 132-133.)

2. Roncalli was only a transitional Pope, and his death coincided with that of Cocteau. If both "thrones" fell vacant at the same time, did Montini alone fall heir to the "throne?" Was the "transition" Roncalli was designated to make the deliverance of the papacy into the hands of the "Satanic Pontiff?" The identity of the present grand master is unknown; there has not been one since Cocteau's death. This is, in itself, a dire omen. Roncalli has been said to be obsessed with John the Baptist. Thus, he gave us the reason for selecting the name John as his love for Christ's cousin. (See *Urbi et Orbi*, Oct. 1958.) Actually, John the Baptist and John the Evangelist are held in high esteem by freemasons, who Piers Compton reports, make a point of meeting on their feast days (Dec. 27 and June 24). It is said by some that both John the Baptist and John the Divine were Essenes, although the Catholic Church has never favored this opinion. (See *Essenes*; *Catholic Encyclopedia*, 1911 ed.) Since the Essenes were a Jewish sect, the Church sees no need to bother with them. But not so Theosophists today, whose founder Helena Petrovna Blavatsky is the Mother of the New Age thinking. The Theosophical Society has taken the Dead Sea Scrolls and presented them in such a manner that an entirely different Tradition, contrary to that handed down by the Church, is presented. The authors endeavor to accredit the Essenes with the lengthy ministrations of Christ during his early ministry, and so claim also His cousins, who are part of His "bloodline." These "Essenic Gospels" are entirely Gnostic in character and emphasize what we know today as humanism. To claim that Christ could have so thought is heresy, but then the Theosophists are heretics. (See DZ 2189.) But it provides us with an explanation for the use of the two Saints John. For as far as it can be determined, the Essenes, if not a secret society, were at least an elite who clung rigidly to all the old Jewish laws of fast and purification. Their tenor can probably be best described as hermetic (more Jewish than the Jews), which refers us back to its Catholic counterpart, the Hieron de Val d'Or. *Holy Blood, Holy Grail*, however, gives us the real reasons behind Roncalli's use of the name John on page 55: "...the Templars were infected with the Johannite or Mandian heresy, which denounced Jesus as a false prophet and acknowledged John as the true Messiah." So Roncalli was honoring masonic tradition. And Roncalli's "mission," mentioned earlier, was to act in a capacity which coincided with the role of his idol, John the Baptist. For Roncalli was the precursor; the voice crying in the modernist wilderness; the one who would prepare the way for the "Rex Mundi." Further reason for Roncalli's choice of

name as Pope is given by Jean Bardet in his *Les Clefs De La Recherche Fondamentale*. On page 72, he writes: "...Roncalli...was elected the 28th of October [and] took the name of John XXIII, in honor of France, for John XXIII was the last Pope of Avignon." This is an interesting comment when we consider that the first John XXIII was elected at Pisa (a council never considered ecumenical by the Church) and was later deposed at Constance. It seems that the plan to unite the papacy and the monarchy was conceived long ago, but was dealt a setback once Martin V was elected at Constance and the papacy permanently established in Rome, once again. Roncalli's contribution to the "bloodline," as "pope" was to insert the "precious blood" into the divine Praises that the God-man might become a man-God, as surely it did when Montini (Paul VI) embarked on his campaign to deify man.

3. In St. Malachy's prophecies, John XXIII is designated as "Pasteur et Nautionner" (Shepherd and Navigator). The official title of the Priory's Grand Master is "Nautionnier." Whether these prophecies are truly genuine, or clever forgeries as some have suggested, they accurately identified Roncalli's dual personality while "pope." And, the objection cannot be made that Roncalli's identification with Malachy's "motto" affords him legitimacy, for Malachy's list contains mottoes for several anti-popes.
4. Roncalli was the first pope to contradict the excommunication of his predecessors for membership in a masonic sect. His relaxation of this application of canon law came with "Pacem in Terris," which extolled the United Nations and granted a general relaxation of discipline begun at the start of Roncalli's reign. In her *Son of Perdition*, C. Lereux relates: "John XXIII ...allowed a revision of canon law to be expected. While awaiting these 'new laws,' everyone proceeded to do and say whatever they wished." (p. 36.)
5. In June of 1960, Roncalli issued an apostolic letter on the "Precious Blood." The authors of *Holy Blood, Holy Grail* claim that he attached "...a hitherto unprecedented significance to that blood. It emphasized Jesus' suffering as a human being and maintained that the redemption of mankind had been effected by the shedding of His blood ... If man's redemption was achieved by the shedding of Jesus' blood, his death and resurrection become incidental." (p. 135.) How strange that Roncalli would attach such significance to the very reason for the Priory's existence if he was not a member. One would need to be very blind, indeed, to fail to make the connection between Roncalli and freemasonry in the face of such damning evidence. We can chalk Roncalli's successful imposture up to the fact that liberal charity "prohibited" the hierarchy from putting two and two together and taking the appropriate action.

It is difficult to see, in light of what we know today, how Roncalli could have been anything OTHER than the false prophet spoken of in Apocalypse 13, vs. 11. E.S. Berry, in his *Apocalypse of St. John*, writes: "The beast arising from the earth is a false prophet,

the prophet of Antichrist ...the false prophet ...will be endowed with the plenitude of satanic powers to deceive the nations, as indicated by his resemblance to the lamb. The prophet will probably set himself up in Rome as a sort of anti-pope," (p. 135.) Reverend P. Huchede, in his little work *The History of AntiChrist*, tells us: "...this false prophet will be an individual person ... he will not be a king, or a general of an army, but a clever apostate fallen from the episcopal dignity ... he will become the first preacher of the false messiah." (p. 24.) C.C. Martindale quotes Father Allo on the false prophet in his commentary on the Apocalypse: "...the false prophet represents that vague, solvent 'toleration,' which was then going to become Gnosticism ...the lazy, flattering notion that all creeds are finally of a muchness is, indeed, as modern as it was prevalent in ancient but decadent days. There could then, be two 'foci' of anti-Christianity--the openly persecuting state and the vague, subservient 'religion,' which the state could patronize without damage to itself." (*A Catholic Commentary on Holy Scripture*," p. 1206.)

Roncalli could hardly be better described than he is above. His sole purpose in appearing to gain the papacy was to; (a) create Montini cardinal; (b) convene the Council; (c) pack the college of cardinals in such a way that no other candidate could validly be elected Pope; (d) throw the doors open to the Jews by omitting "perfidious Jews" from the Good Friday service, and; (e) creating and catering to liberalism and religious indifferentism. It is perhaps, far easier to determine his role as false prophet than it is to take that giant step and identify Montini as Antichrist proper. While there can scarcely be any doubt that all the signs mentioned throughout the centuries by countless fathers and doctors of the Church are summed up in Montini, including the appearance of Roncalli as false prophet, conclusive proof must be offered before one can reach moral certitude in such a matter. While Leo Panakal was the first to identify Paul VI as Antichrist proper, he failed to offer his readers the exhaustive proofs of this reality required to make such a judgment and arrive at the necessary certitude. We will quote from Panakal's booklet *The Man of Sin*, later in this examination.

Summary and Conclusions

1. Roncalli as false prophet, prepared the way for Montini's reign by "opening the windows" and "aggiornamento."
2. The Great Revolt was officially begun under Roncalli, who called the Council for the very purpose of "updating" the Church, a Council open to "all believers."
3. Roncalli's own election was invalid, and as a result, his appointment of 35 "cardinals" null and void. Because 35 equaled greater than one-third of the 85-member college that elected Montini, no valid election could have taken place, since a two-thirds majority could not have been obtained. Pius XII specifically stated in his bull "*Vacantes Apostolica Sedis*," that if a two-thirds plus 1 majority is not obtained, no election takes place.

Before we present syllogistic proof that John XXIII was, indeed, the false prophet, we would like to point out that, to the best of our knowledge this is the first work that has ever applied these scriptural prophecies and other proofs convincingly to any specific individual. Could they have applied equally to a past pontiff? Of course not. No true pope ever behaved as Roncalli behaved. A past anti-Pope? Possibly. But no anti-pope captured

and maintained the loyalty of Catholics across the board, nor enticed the entire hierarchy with the liberal sweetness that was Vatican II. A political figure? Perhaps. But Christ's Church could not have been perfectly betrayed by any other than one of her own. If the prophecies were never applied before, could it be that they never truly fit any individual as precisely as they fit Roncalli? Remember that a syllogism is proof of probability.

The False Prophet

1. Holy Scripture has confirmed that the False Prophet would cause the earth to follow the beast in wonder and would lend Antichrist his own power.
2. Roncalli caused the greater majority of Catholics to accept Montini and Vatican II unequivocally, and lent "Cardinal" Montini his "papal" power.
3. Therefore, Roncalli was the False Prophet.

The Beast of the Sea

Following the method of scholastic philosophy laid down by Cardinal Mercier and the prescriptions of Canon 18, we will first explore the meaning of the word Antichrist, who is said, by Fr. Berry to be the "Beast of the Sea."

The Catholic Encyclopedia in Volume I, gives three possible meanings for this word. They are: (1) One directly opposed to Christ (against); (2) one "resembling Christ in appearance and power," and; (3) as "one who is king during an interregnum." (!) Montini can be said to be all three. Where Christ came to deliver a spiritual kingdom in the name of God the Father, Montini established an earthly kingdom in the name of the Father of Lies, who would enthrone man as God. Where Christ is the ultimate good, Antichrist is the "maximum possible evil," residing in the "highest spiritual office," as described by W.J. Strojic in his Last Days of the Catholic Church. As we have seen from our detailed examination of "Cum Ex" and Roncalli's heresy in an earlier section, there is no question of Paul VI ever truly becoming pope. Therefore, his entire ascendancy to the See NEVER TOOK PLACE, and as such, was the very epitome of lying and falsehood, since it was based on thin air. The faithful, as predicted in Holy Scripture, accepted Montini because they believed Antichrist would be some fantastic earthly leader they would easily recognize, although all the predictions of Antichrist in Holy Scripture plainly demonstrate that his reign will depend on deception for its success. Just as the Jews expected an earthly king who would deliver them from their Roman oppressors, so did Catholics fail to anticipate Antichrist as a SPIRITUAL leader (as Christ's reign was also a spiritual reign). Yet, if Antichrist is to be perfectly opposed to Christ, how could his reign be other than spiritual?

In support of the opinion that Antichrist will be elevated to the Apostolic See, or overthrow the Pope and usurp his See, we wish to cite the following authorities:

St. Cyril of Constantinople - "Foretells the fall of the Church and papal throne."

St. Francis of Assisi - "There will be an uncanonically elected pope, who will cause a great Schism..."

Abbot Joachim - "Towards the end of the world, Antichrist will overthrow the Pope and usurp his See." (While this abbot's writings concerning the three ages of the world were condemned after his death, he was not a heretic. In fact, his holiness was attested to unswervingly by his Franciscan brethren.)

Sister Ludmilla of Prague - "...after the world war, ...one will endeavor to prevent the Pope from exercising his sacred office...."

Father Jerome Votin - "...The shepherd (Pope) will be smitten and the sheep scattered." (All the above taken from Reverend R. Gerald Culleton's *The Reign of Antichrist*, (Nos. 293, 294, 296, 297 and 309.)

The Catholic Encyclopedia (Vol. I, Antichrist), relates that St. Bernard was of the opinion that the last of the Apocalypse was an anti-pope. However, the author, Reverend A.J. Maas, is careful to point out that both St. Bernard and the Abbot Joachim were not proponents of the Protestant papal Antichrist theory, since they both speak of anti-popes who will rule as popes. It is interesting to note that St. Bernard regarded Pier deLeoni, the anti-pope Anacletus II who reigned against Innocent II, as the "abomination of desolation," as we have already reported in an earlier section. Finally, we would like to cite Father E.S. Berry who describes the False Prophet as an anti-pope. All these quotes prove that, contrary to popular belief, the Papal See could be overthrown temporarily. And, as the Catholic Encyclopedia (Vol. I) article written by Fr. Maas concludes: "...since Antichrist simulates Christ, and the Pope is an image of Christ, Antichrist must have some similarity to the Pope." As we observed earlier, God is merciful, but He will not have mercy on those who commit sins of presumption by relying on His mercy as a blanket excuse for refusal to study. God is, indeed, merciful, but He is also just. And if ever a generation merited His justice, it is ours. Before examining the various conclusions regarding the person and reign of Antichrist, we will first address the portraits of Antichrist in Scripture and tradition.

1. Daniel the Prophet — In chapter seven of Daniel, there is a general description of the system of Antichrist. First, he commences with a description of the "beasts" of his vision. The first beast, oddly enough, is a lioness with wings, the very symbol which appeared on Roncalli's coat of arms! In A.C.V. Fox-Davies *A Complete Guide to Heraldry*, we find that this animal is the symbol of St. John. But Daniel describes the lion as having its wings plucked, indicating perhaps, that authority to pronounce on things divine is taken away. Wings would help one to ascend; i.e., mount closer to God. FoxDavies announces that any "...wings occurring in armory are always presumed to be the wings of an eagle." (p. 239.) There is also reference to the wings of an eagle in Apoc. 12:14. A Catholic Dictionary (p. 192) tells us under Eagle, that St. John uses the eagle to symbolize the Holy Ghost. Did Daniel envision the stripping of the Holy Ghost from Roncalli when he describes the first beast as "a man" after the wings are plucked? A mere man who does not possess the power of the Keys, although he has mounted the papal throne? A winged

lioness is a fairly rare occurrence in heraldry, and Fox-Davies relates that the only family now bearing these arms, lives in the Languedoc region of France! So also, does the next animal, the bear, have some relation heraldry-wise to this region of France. It is said to symbolize the Plantagenet family by the authors of "Holy Blood, Holy Grail," whose ancestor, one King Ursus, originated in the Languedoc region and died in Brittany. His descendants are said to have then sought refuge in England; later to have become the royal Plantagenet line. (pp. 235-237.) On page 85, the authors tell us: "...Ursus (is) a name consistently associated with the Merovingian bloodline." The meaning of Ursus is "bear" (Latin-see "ursa," Webster's Collegiate Dictionary).

It would almost seem that Daniel is describing the different facets of a bloodline here (although "bear" could also apply to Russia). But the bloodline reference is further strengthened by the third beast, a leopard. Fox-Davies explains that in the beginning of the history of heraldic devices, leopards and lions were indistinguishable! Later, the French made the distinction, adopting leopards and the fleur-de-lis for many of their coat of arms. But still, the French leopards look just like English lions, the only difference between a "lion" and a "leopard" to the French being the position (not the appearance) of the English lion. So, while the bear seems to be a different species altogether, the leopard is a conglomerate monster of sorts, having the lion's wings (although they are called fowl, not eagle, wings), and the leopard's body, similar to a lion's. The final beast is so terrifying, it seems to defy all Daniel's abilities of description, but he tells us it is unlike the other beasts.

In Chapter 7, vs. 19-25, Daniel discusses further this different beast, but all that seems to be added is the allusion to the ten kings of this fourth beast, the terribleness of the beast and its awesome power. Many see in the ten kings a foretelling of a united Europe, and, indeed, this may be true., The book Holy Blood, Holy Grail, which has already told us so much concerning the Priory, speaks of its plans for the future on page 385: "During the 19th century, the Priory of Sion, working through freemasonry and the Hieron du Val d'Or, attempted to establish a revived and updated Holy Roman Empire--a kind of theocratic United States of Europe, ruled simultaneously by the Hapsburgs and by a radically reformed Church ... it is not unreasonable to suppose that Sion's present objectives are basically similar... ." The authors admit that the Priory aims today "...would seem to include a theocratic United States of Europe ... a modern empire ruled by a dynasty descended from Jesus ... in accordance with Merovingian tradition ...the new king ...would rule but not govern ... he would be a priest-king who functions primarily in a ritual and symbolic capacity; the actual business of governing would be handled ...conceivably by the Priory of Sion." (p. 385.)

The four kingdoms of Daniel must then represent the various intersecting bloodlines, which feed into the Priory. The winged lion of Roncalli is England and France; the bear is France and Russia, related by blood to England at the time of the Bolshevik revolution. It is prophesied by Daniel, that the bear will "devour much flesh." Certainly this has been true of Russia (under Stalin, especially). The leopard once again refers to union between France and England of some kind, and the leopard's wings may be a reference to the double-eagle crests of the house of Hapsburg (Germany), and Russia (who intermarried

with the Hapsburg line), and perhaps, Poland, whose national emblem is also the double eagle. This representation of the two houses accounts for the four heads and four wings of the leopard, since the double-headed eagle has two heads, but only two wings, also. Fox-Davies writes on page 237, that "...the eagle with one or two heads ...seems to have become the recognized heraldic symbol of the Holy Roman Empire...." But, this empire is now dominated by leopard heads from France, not eagle's heads; French kings, not Roman emperors. Paul VI, of all the Vatican II popes, showed his allegiance to France most visibly through his coat of arms, which displays the fleur-de-lis, which is nothing other than a lily bud, not a true lily. The "bud of renown" is known to be the prophecy of the Messiah, the lily bud representing the messianic hopes of Judah. It is not surprising then, to find this bud displayed by Montini, who fulfilled the darkling hopes of the Jews: to overthrow the papacy and serve their messiah.

As for the rest of Daniel, the following is predicted:

- "He shall think himself able to change times and laws." This Montini did, destroying the liturgical calendars and displacing many saints; rendering canon law of little effect, doing away with many of the time-honored rituals, the sacraments, the Mass--the list is endless. (Ch. 7:25.)
- "...he shall ...obtain the kingdom by fraud ...and craft shall be successful in his hand...." (Ch. 8:25.) Done. Everyone thinks Montini was a legitimate pope, and JPII is his legitimate successor. The world yet believes that the Catholic Church is represented by Rome; that it can accommodate itself to the age. A greater fraud is unimaginable.
- "...he shall destroy ...the people of the saints ...and shall crush the saints of the Most High." (Chapters 7:25, 8:24.)

So far, this seems to be a spiritual destruction, corresponding to Zacharias 13:7: "Strike the shepherd, and the sheep shall be scattered...." But physically, destruction cannot be ruled out, since hundreds of thousands of Catholics have perished at the hands of the communist masters supported by Montini. Also, soul-murder is worse by far than physical death; and in this, Montini excelled, by deceiving Catholics with his schismatic Church, which they believed to be the true Church. The "abomination of desolation" spoken of by Daniel we have already covered; the cessation of the continual sacrifice will be covered under a different heading. Here, we have addressed the kingdoms and their present day signification. But it cannot be denied that certainly Daniel spoke of kingdoms in reference to his own day, and he even speaks of conflicting bloodlines himself. Of course, the claim to Christ's "bloodline" is both vile heresy, and the height of power-lust, but the bloodlines have certainly been sedulously cultivated, although their prime progenitor be SATAN and his descendants, not Christ's. In St. John 8:44, Christ tells the Pharisees: "You are of your father the devil ...truth is not in him ...for he is a liar, and the father, thereof." No greater lie has ever been told than that upon which the Priory is founded. That it is somehow inextricably entwined throughout the entire course of history, and most especially manifested in the reign of Roncalli and Montini should surprise no one, once their identities are understood. For already they had begun to attack

Christians of the primitive Church. St. Jude wrote: "For certain men are secretly entered in, ...ungodly men, turning the grace of Our Lord God into riotousness and denying the only sovereign ruler, and Our Lord Jesus Christ ...these men ...despise dominion and blaspheme majesty...." (Ch. 1:4, 8.) They have been with us always, and always their method of operation and their aims have been the same.

2. St. Paul on Antichrist — It is St. Paul who, in II Thessalonians, predicts the revolt must come first. This revolt began almost immediately with the installation of Roncalli as "pope," or at least it came out of the closet, so to speak. But actually, it began with the Protestant Reformation, and slowly deteriorated into the ecumenism, modernism, rationalism, and liberalism of our own day. In a later section, we will examine this revolt in depth. But, it is already verified, in fact, by the wholesale schism of the Montinian Church in Rome. Verified also, is the Antichrist, who "...is worshipped, so that he sitteth in the temple of God, showing himself as if he were God... ." (vs. 4.) The designation of this Temple has not been clear until the actual fulfillment of the prophecy. The fathers of the Church (and commentators on Scripture) were divided on this point, some believing the Temple in Jerusalem was meant, others teaching that it was to be the Church itself. The latter has been proven to be the case. Popolatry is a wide-spread phenomena in today's "Church" in Rome, and during Montini's reign, many looked to him as the Savior of man, and the one who brought the Church into agreement with the modern age by instituting the "religion of man."

"He who withholdeth" we have thoroughly covered in a previous section. "...in all power, signs and lying wonders." Reverend P. Huchede ascertains that "the greater part of these miracles will be optical illusions and diabolical charms. Men shall be deceived by appearances; hence, the reason why the Scriptures say he will work miracles before the eyes of man and not in the sight of God." (History of Antichrist, p. 19.) St. Thomas writes: "The works of Antichrist may be called lying wonders ...because he will deceive men's senses by means of phantoms, so that he will not really do what he seems to do... ." (Summa I, 113:4.)

"God shall send them the operation of error to believe lying. Refusal to study and slavish observance of religious externals have brought about this punishment. Catholics wish to remain ignorant. The old adage, "What you don't know won't hurt you," is one of the most dangerous assumptions afoot today. Refusal to learn about the Faith is a rejection of grace. We have already explored the dangers of such ignorance in Part I, and will continue this theme through Part III.

3. The Apocalypse of St. John — It is in this book of Holy Scripture (Chapter 13), that we finally solve the puzzle of the four kingdoms of Daniel. For St. John merges the leopard, the bear, and the lion into one beast, so that there can be no doubt that the bloodlines all meet in Montini. (See Part II, front of this piece.) "And the beast ...was like to a leopard, and his feet were as the feet of a bear, and his mouth the mouth of a lion. And the dragon gave him his own strength and great power." Now we know the meaning of the kingdoms in our day, which are the bloodlines of certain houses of Europe. The dragon mentioned is Roncalli, in this passage. For in

Chapter 13:2, we find this reference: "And I saw another beast coming up out of the earth, and he had two horns like a lamb, and he spoke as a dragon." Father E.S. Berry describes the beast of the earth as the false prophet of Chapter 19:20. Just as Christ also was heralded by the preaching of St. John, the Baptist, so Montini had need of such a herald, also, if he were to be perfectly opposed to Christ. Berry writes: "The beast arising from the earth is ...the prophet of Antichrist ...the false prophet, who will be endowed with the plenitude of satanic powers to deceive the nations." Thus is Roncalli's fascination with St. John, the Baptist and St. John, the Divine, explained; for his mission on the earth was to fulfill Holy Scripture. Of interest here, are the two horns. Horns are also mentioned adorning the beasts of Daniel in Chapter Seven. Joseph Saraceno, in his article *The Two Horns of Antichrist*, first assays the Old Testament, and then concludes that horns represent a calling (from God). Going back to Daniel, Chapter Seven, once again, we observe that he saw in his vision a little horn that grew (verse 8).

In his book "The Antichrist," Reverend Augustine Lehman observes: "In this little horn that grows, the Fathers, notably St. Irenaeus, Theodoret, Lactantius, St. Jerome, the modern commentators, Maldonatus, Cornelius a Lapide, Calmet, etc., and many contemporary exegetes, have rightly seen a figure of Antichrist. The horn is the symbol of strength and power." (pp. 18-19.) As Saraceno points out, Antichrist, according to Scripture, had one horn, or calling. Roncalli, as false prophet, had two horns; that of pope and false prophet; shepherd and navigator. Montini is the beast "...coming up out of the sea." (Apoc. 13:1.) Reverend Berry comments: "If Antichrist be of Jewish extraction, as he probably will, the sea from which he arises signifies Judaism." (p. 135.) Berry distinguishes between the beast as Antichrist, and the beast as the collective system of Antichrist. He says that "by extension," the beast is seen to represent the whole empire of Antichrist. He also reports that it is a "general opinion" that Antichrist "...will set himself up as the Messiah." (p. 131.) If Paul VI claimed one cannot be saved, unless he belonged to the Vatican II Church, having instituted new rites and completely destroyed continuity of doctrine (in effect, creating an entirely new Church), then certainly he would qualify as the Messiah of his own new religion. By anathematizing the Tridentine and forbidding its celebration, this is exactly what he did. All who refused to celebrate or attend the New Mass were excluded from "salvation" in the Montinian Church.

Concerning the Jewish nationality of Antichrist, Reverend Huchede insists that "...it is certain that [Antichrist] will be of the Jewish race. Tradition bears us out on this point." (p. 15.) In support of this opinion, Father Saenz Arriaga quotes: *The Golden Book of Noble Italian Heritage*, which states that Paul VI was descended from the deBenedictus family and lists this family as "...of Hebrew origin." The book lists Montini's ancestor as the great-grandfather of Pier deLeoni, the anti-pope Anacletus II of St. Bernard's day. Maurice Pinay, in his *Plot Against the Church*, quotes the JewishSpanish Encyclopedia to document the fact that Baruz Leoni (a Jew) had himself baptized and took the Christian name of Benedict. The final sentence of this quote reads "...in spite of baptism and mixed marriages, the descendants of Benedictus were connected down the centuries with the Jewish community." It would seem that Montini was a Jew. But to exemplify the height of imperfection to be realized by Antichrist, he must also have been of Catholic blood. For Zacharias wrote: "I was wounded in the house of them that loved me." (Zach.

13:6.) No betrayal is so painful or so reprehensible as that perpetrated by close friends or relatives. Christ was crucified by His own, and in this, the passion of His Mystical Body, He will, once again, suffer persecution by members of the Church He entrusted to St. Peter.

One of the riddles of Apocalypse which has always puzzled commentators is that of the slain heads. This reference is found in Apocalypse 13:3: "And I saw one of his heads, as it were slain to death, and his death wound was healed." Reverend Berry identifies this head as "...one of the powers supporting the cause of Antichrist..." and further notes that these powers may be "...both spiritual and temporal." (p. 132.) Reverend H.J. Coleridge opines that this slain head is heathenism. This slain head could also be the Albigensian heresy, purged from France in the 13th century, with the disbanding of the Templars; or it may have reference to the fact that several times anti-popes attempted to seize the throne, but were repulsed, as in the case of Anacletus II, and the attempted election of Rampolla in 1903. But probably, this passage is linked to the horns of the False Prophet and the Beast. When Paul VI died, many hoped beyond hope that a true pope would be elected, not realizing that such an election was impossible, since it was being held by DEPOSED cardinals and an interdicted electing body. There was great consternation when Luciani took the name John Paul I, and Wojtyla continued this odd departure from all tradition. But, if we consider the horns as defined by Saraceno, in conjunction with the slain heads, all will become clear. The beast yet lives!

John and Paul each had a calling unique to themselves. That calling was their pseudo-papacy. In retaining the names of both, their successors in iniquity took this particular horn or power, from each one. Both men received two horns; one of the "successor" of St. Peter, and; one as the successor of the hidden Satanic Pontiff. This is the Gnostic/dualist notion of God as both good and evil made a reality. (In this we see that the last days have become as the first, since the Gnostics have plagued the Church from Her earliest days.) Apoc. 13:15 verifies just such a transfer of power, for it reads: "And it was given to him to give life to the image of the beast, that it should speak...." An echo of this transfer is also seen in Apoc. 17:8: "The beast which thou sawest was, and is not, and shall come up out of the ...pit and go into destruction." Paul VI was accepted by Catholics as pope, yet was not (pope) and went to his death as a "pope." He was the Antichrist, yet he died (Paul), but shall arise out of the pit (be "resurrected") in John Paul I and II. This can be recognized as a perverse sort of perpetuity in imitation of that promised to St. Peter. "And he shall make all ... to have a character in their right hand or on their foreheads (Apoc. 13:16.) Reverend Berry sees in this verse "...ceremonies to imitate the sacraments of the Church... . In fact, there will be a complete organization--a Church of Satan, set up in opposition to the Church of Christ. Their ceremonies will counterfeit the sacraments..." Truer words were never spoken. St. Hildegard agrees in part with Berry, since she predicted this mark would be "...a hellish symbol of Baptism...." (Reign of Antichrist, by Reverend Gerald Culleton, No. 292.) That Montini accomplished all of this and more will be seen in a later section.

Apocalypse elaborates on the above mentioned sign by attesting that "...no man might buy or sell, but he that hath the character... ." In his commentary on the Apocalypse,

Reverend J.S. Considine, O.P., is of the opinion that this verse can be seen to read that those who did not worship the emperor (in our day it would be those who would not support "democracy"), would be prevented from buying or selling. He remarks: "Though the times have changed, the Church is still persecuted because it does not ...bow down to the world power or its false prophets." (A Commentary on the New Testament, p. 672.) This is no longer true, since Paul VI appeared before the assembly of the United Nations "...as a friend, to express the desire and to get the permission [of] humbly, lovingly, and disinterestedly serving... this assembly."

We can also return here to the idea of Montini as Messiah. For what the Conciliar Church has done, in effect, has been to deprive true Catholics of all spiritual commerce with the Church. Unless we attend the Novus Ordo and "participate" in all the conciliar absurdities, unless we "tithe" and pay "Peter's Pence" and "Catholic" school tuition, in addition to contributing time or money to various other humanist causes, we cannot belong to a "Catholic" community, nor be seen to merit marriage, burial, baptism, or any of the other "sacraments." In truth, if we did not know that Christ supports us, we would technically be forced into spiritual starvation. Indeed, many Catholics who had to make this choice following Vatican II, have preferred the changes and subsequent sacrilege to such cruel and inhuman deprivation, even though they abhorred all the changes. This is especially true of the elderly, many of whom were lonely already and isolated by a youth-oriented society. Several commentators on this verse of Apocalypse have predicted actual starvation. But as tortures go, starvation has its end. By contrast, this seemingly endless era of spiritual starvation is far more devious and painful. It is a good example of the spiritual application of Apocalypse versus the literal application. Giving a spiritual interpretation to most of its passages, not only indicates its application to our times; it does away with a great deal of the more fanciful prognostications prompted by its being understood in a literal sense.

"...Count the number of the beast, for it is the number of a man... 666." (Apoc. 13:18.) As Francis Panakal wisely observes in his booklet, 666 - Beast of the Apocalypse Unmasked: "...the number of a man' implies very definitely that he is really one among several men, each of whom will have a number, the beast in the prophecy being the one with the number 666." (p.2.) Here, Panakal refers to the fact that in the *Annuario Pontificio*, published since 1954, the names of all the popes are listed (after the first 22), according to the date of election, coronation as pope, and the date on which his pontificate ended. Panakal notes that a few numbers on this list occur more than once, but Montini's number appears only once; and that number reads 666 - VI for Paulus VI; VI for the month of June (his election); VI for the month of his coronation (June). Although these Roman numeral VI's are the only one's St. John could have identified in his vision, since he could not read Arabic numbers, the sixes do not stop there. Yet another instance of the use of "666" can be seen reflected in Montini's coat of arms. The World Book Encyclopedia, under the heading heraldry, lists the fleur-de-lis as a symbol of the "sixth son" in a family. Montini's coat of arms displays three fleurs-de-lis; hence, 6-6-6. Panakal lists several instances of six; six names (Enrico Antonio Maria Giovanni Battista Montini); Montini's number in the conclave was six; he was 66 at the time of his election; the 264th pope; elected at the sixth conclave held in this century. Panakal points to Pope

St. Pius X's first encyclical, *E Supremi Apostolatus*, where Pius X wrote: "So extreme is the general perversion that there is room to fear ...that the Son of Perdition, of whom the Apostle speaks, has already arrived upon earth." In 1903, Montini was six years old. (This would not be so unusual if St. Pius X had not been known to have the gift of foresight.) Moreover, Paul VI signed his name in such an unusual way, that when the signature is turned upside down, it reads--666. (Arms and signature will be found at the end of this section.)

Panakal does not present this armory and signature in his proofs. In fact, Mr. Panakal remarks on page 18 of his second book *Son of Perdition*, "It has been reported that ...Montini was either a heretic or an illegitimate pope. He might have been both, we do not know." The picture was not the only proof he omitted from the study! This would not be important in itself if Panakal did not tell his readers that, based on the information he offers them they can arrive at moral certainty. As we have already seen, it takes a great deal of study to arrive at such a certainty. Certainly Mr. Panakal's book is unique and a very good starting place for such study. But in introducing the syllogisms on page 30-31 of his work to support his findings, we feel he acted prematurely. One first studies to arrive at the syllogism; or, after presenting such a syllogism, produces evidence. Panakal does make the necessary point that syllogisms, study and knowledge; all are of no avail, unless the intellect is enlightened by the Holy Ghost. But possibly the most harrowing conclusion Panakal arrives at is the supposition that due to the advent of Antichrist, **THE GATES OF HEAVEN ARE CLOSED**. Nowhere have we discovered this opinion in any of the theologians, saints, doctors, or fathers of the Church consulted for this work. Since it is not a conclusion, dependent on Montini's personal identification as Antichrist, we feel that it must be dismissed as both dangerous and probably heretical. Panakal makes this statement because he assumes the Church has disappeared. But as Saint Athanasius has opined, even if two or three Catholics were left on earth, they would be the Church of Christ. Christ Himself taught: "Where two or three are gathered in my name, there shall I also be." Moreover, as we shall prove beyond a reasonable doubt in Part III, Christ promised that His Church would last "...until the consummation." This is how the Vatican Council interpreted these words of the Savior. We shall find occasion to discuss this statement of Panakal's further in a subsequent section.

Why would Montini take such great pains to identify himself by the number 666, if this number was meaningless and irrelevant to him? Constance Cumbey, the author of the invaluable *Hidden Dangers of the Rainbow*, quotes the New Age popess, Alice Bailey, on the significance of this number. Bailey calls 666 "...a sacred number" ...and details how to use numerology to calculate it. This woman claims it "...holds the mystery hid of one of the three heavenly men."(?) Cumbey writes that the *Keys of Enoch*, a New Age bible, instructs the reader to "...use the numerical sequence 6-6-6 as frequently as possible." This to attract "higher intelligence" from another time zone or another planet to our own world. Cumbey repeatedly asserts that these "spirit guides," this "higher intelligence" the New Age appeals to, are demons.

Cumbey's analysis of the New Age movement is as convincing as it is hair-raising. Like many other books of its genre, it identifies a world conspiracy moving towards a one-

world government, one-world religion. Strangely enough, New Agers advocate the same things promoted by Vatican II and the Priory! In "Holy Blood, Holy Grail," the "Protocols of the Elders of Zion," is identified as the 24-point plan by the Priory to conquer the world. We know that the enemy works on many fronts, "pitting" one faction against the other to convince the masses that there are really "sides" to an issue, when all the sides are manufactured to better deceive the people. This appearance of different "groups" in the conspiracy can be easily resolved by consulting the following comparison chart:

The Priory of Sion, New Age movement, British Israel:

- Priory/"Protocols": We have in our service persons of all opinions, of all doctrines; restoring monarchists, demagogues, socialists, communists, and utopian dreamers of every kind." (p. 170, Protocols.)
- New Agers: "According to New Age sources, the new age movement is a world-wide network. It consists of tens of thousands of cooperating organizations." (p. 54, Hidden Dangers.)
- Priory/"Protocols": (After wearing down the people) "...they will be compelled to use international power... that will enable us to absorb all the State forces of the world and to form a Super-Government. (p. 164.)
- New Agers: "In Alice Bailey's The Externalization of the Hierarchy,, ...the New World order is laid out on pages 190-191. "The political goal of the movement is global control." (p. 62.)
- New Agers: "In the preparatory period for the New World order, there will be a steady and regulated disarmament...." (p. 70, Cumbey.)
- (The Priory of Sion, New Age movement.) Paul VI, Vatican II Documents, Roncalli's "Pacem in Terris."
- Pastoral Constitution on the Church in the Modern World, (Vol. VII), Article No. 84: "Already existing international and regional organizations ...represent the first attempts at laying the foundations on an international level for a community of all men to work toward the ...encouragement of progress ...prevention of wars... (in) the spirit of true brotherhood ..."all Christians should do their best to promote dialogue between men of every class.." (pp. 994, 1003, VII documents.)
- (Article No. 88 of same title above): "Christians should willingly and wholeheartedly support the establishment of an international order that includes a genuine respect for legitimate freedom and... brotherhood... "

- The subtitle of this section in the Vatican II documents, reads: "Fostering of Peace and Establishment of a Community of Nations." (Same title as above, Article No. 82). "...all must work to put an end to the arms race and make a real beginning of disarmament...." (Paul VI, Vatican II)
- Priory: Teaches Christ is only a man (not divine; for he never rose); a man who will save the world through his "descendant."
- New Agers: "...Jesus saw the Christ in everyone else; Jesus became what each of us is destined to be--a Christ...." (p. 179, Cumbey.)
- Priory /Protocols: "When we ascend the throne of the world, all these financial and similar shifts ...will be swept away... . We shall replace the money markets by grandiose government credit institutions...." (Protocol 21; "Protocols.") Protocols: When at last we shall come into our kingdom ...(and not a little time will pass before that comes about; perhaps 100 years)... (p. 192.)
- New Agers: "Another order from these ...spirits or elementals ...told them to keep the (Theosophical) society secret ...for 100 years--until 1975--the year initiates were ... to make the initiating teachings public," (p. 46, Cumbey.)
Protocols: Our counter signals are of force... Only force conquers... (Protocol 1, p. 147.)
- New Agers: Cumbey quotes Daniel 11:38, where Daniel predicts Antichrist shall honor the "God of forces." This same force is referred to by New Agers, who Cumbey says view the force as the Gnostic/dualist god of good and evil; two-sided and capable of manipulation.

In Rev. Denis Fahey's work, "The Church in the Modern World," we find the philosophy of Karl Marx summed up by one of his correspondents: "The Jewish people taken collectively, shall be its own messias...." Paul VI proclaimed when he was but an archbishop: "Are you looking for God? You will find him in man." (Paul VI, Dialogues, by Msgr. John Clancy.)

Church in the Modern World, (VII), Article No. 86:

- "It is up to the international community to coordinate and stimulate development, (and) ... to organize economic affairs on a world-wide scale."
Edward Cardinal Manning wrote shortly after the close of the Vatican Council (1869):
- "Peter's bark is ready for the storm. All that is needful is already on board. ...Kings and princes will not learn... they rush against the rock and perish. The world sees their ruin, but will not see the reason." (The Vatican Council," Card. Manning.)
- Paul VI's speaking before the U.N. assembly on October 4, 1965: "Behold the day that we have awaited for centuries." (Last Days of the Catholic Church," W.J. Strojic, p. 19.)

- From Paul VI's 1969 Christmas "mass" sermon: "There would be cause for weeping and despair if we were not supported by a wonderful interior force, that of seeking."
Paul VI, Vatican II

- "There would be cause for weeping and despair if we were not supported by a wonderful interior force...." (Last Days... .)

- Protocols: "...to sum up our system of keeping the governments of... Europe in check, we shall show our strength

- " (Protocol No. 7, Protocols.) We have noted earlier in this section that the Priory also planned to unify Europe and reestablish the "Holy Roman Empire."
New Alters: "One of (their) goals was to have a unified Europe... ." (Cumbey, p. 79.)

- Priory: We have seen that the aim of this organization is to place Christ's "descendant" on the French throne to rule the world. Protocols: "...We have been leading the peoples ... so that they should turn also from us in favor of that king despot of the blood of Zion. whom we are preparing for the world." (Protocol No. 3, Protocols.) New Alters: Cumbey reports that they await their "Lord Maitreya," whom Blavatsky wrote of 100 years ago as a world savior.

- The following criteria was presented by Fr. Augustin Lehman as things about Antichrist which could be called certain. We reproduce it here with brief comments to prove that certainty has been achieved in Montini's identity as the Antichrist.

- Church in the Modern World, (Vol. VII), Articles 87, 90: "International cooperation is vitally necessary... . Different Catholic international bodies can assist the community of nations on the way to peace and brotherhood...."

- Paul VI, 1969 Christmas message sermon. W.J. Strojic writes: "Paul VI searches in his sermon for 'the Man who is necessary, the Man who can save us.'" (Last Days... ." (p. 18.)

- Paul VI, Feb. 1971: "Honor to man, king of the earth, and today the prince of the heavens!"

1. Antichrist will be a trial for the good. Comments: Proven by the past 30 years.

2. Antichrist will be a man, a human... . Comments: Montini was a man.

3. Antichrist will not be Satan, only a man.

Comments: Possessed by Satan perhaps, but he could not have been him.

4. Antichrist will have great powers of seduction, owing to personal qualities.

Comments: Certainly this was true of Paul VI, who said one thing while he did another and escaped all blame; spoke heresy by the paragraphs, yet was never condemned for it; signed the Novus Ordo into being, yet escaped all blame for the resultant abuses; effectively destroyed the Church which is everlasting, forever indefectible; or at least appeared to do so.

5. The beginnings of Antichrist's career will be lowly and obscure.

Comments: Montini rose to power like any other prelate, and he did not become an overnight sensation.

6. Antichrist will increase in power and make conquests.

Comments: By the time Paul VI died, he had succeeded in finalizing a pact with Moscow, pacifying the U.N., pacifying the Jews, satisfying all the Protestants, quieting all the people's mass advocates and countless other conquests. It would be hard to imagine a power greater than that which leveled a work of love that stood for 1,958 years.

7. The rule of Antichrist will be worldwide.

Comments: The Church is universal. The pope's rule has always extended to every corner of the earth.

8. Antichrist will wage a terrible war against God and the Church.

Comments: Don't we know it! And there may be storms yet ahead. So far, he's had nothing but success.

9. Antichrist will claim to be God and will demand exclusive adoration.

Comments: This may be reserved to a later "pope," soon to be elected as part of the chain, but we doubt it. Montini was adored as the man who united the Churches. As head of a new Church which espouses humanism, Montini was worshipped as head of his own Church.

10. By means of diabolical prodigies, he will seek to prove he is God.

Comments: The prodigies have all been written and verbal. The "double-think, double-speak" has become so prevalent as a result of Vatican II and these "popes," that "Catholics" who yet adhere to them cannot even think rightly. As we have seen before, such signs have reference to Antichrist's phenomenal ability to mislead and deceive.

11. His reign will be merely temporary.

Comments: We certainly hope and pray so! Thank God we have the guaranty of Holy Scripture that his reign will end. Many have claimed that the 1,260 days have been exceeded, so Paul VI cannot have been the Antichrist. But not all Scriptural commentators are agreed that this period can definitely be measured in days. It is our belief that St. John here described the last 1,260 days of his (Antichrist's) reign, which will be the bloodiest, the most terrifying, the darkest hour before the dawn. This is the period during which St. John says the Church will be afforded protection in the desert. It is our opinion that this period is nearly upon us. More on this subject will appear in Part

Summary

1. Montini's election ushered him in as the Antichrist. 2. Montini abolished the Continual Sacrifice.

3. He changed the liturgical calendar and many of the canon laws and Church laws.

4. He set up an entirely new religion with new rites and beliefs.

5. He did more than could be believed.

6. His new religion was the deification of man.

7. Those Catholics who would not participate in his religion have been left spiritually starving.

8. The financial empire of the beast was such that those who buy and sell yet, by that very fact, pay tribute to him in way of taxes.
 9. 666 was truly Paul's number.
 10. Montini transferred his power and that of Roncalli to the two horns; J.P. I and J.P. II; so that the beast appeared to be slain, but recovered and lived anew.
 11. Wojtyla is the second (and last) horn, and his days are numbered.
 12. Our Lord will soon slay Antichrist with the breath of His mouth and destroy him with His reign as Christ, the King. (This prophesy of St. Paul's can also be taken to mean that Antichrist's system will only be completely destroyed at the Second Coming.)
- Have we learned enough concerning Montini to arrive at certitude concerning his identity as the Beast of the Sea? We present the following syllogisms in support of all that we have written:

Antichrist

1. Holy Scripture tells us that Antichrist will be a man who will change times and laws, cause the continual sacrifice to cease, deceive the faithful by lying and false miracles, and one whose number will be 666.
2. Paul VI, J.B. Montini, accomplished all these things, and he was a man.
3. Therefore, Montini was Antichrist.

The System of Antichrist

1. Holy Scripture tells us that Antichrist will be the head of a world-wide system, consisting in followers under the various ten kings. These followers will help Antichrist wage war against the saints; they will follow the beast in wonder.
 2. The Vatican II "Church," the New Age Movement, and the Priory have all assisted Antichrist in making conquests and maintaining and extending his empire.
 3. Therefore, his system can be seen in these three groups, and is definitely with us today. In this section we have offered proofs from six authorities, requisite for certitude, to the effect that Antichrist would be an anti-pope who would reign as Pope. St. Cyril, St. Francis, St. Bernard, Fr. Jerome Votin, Paul IV, and Leo XIII, all indicate that they agree the "abomination of desolation," whom Christ identifies as Antichrist, would actually be an antipope. Therefore, certitude has been established.
- Ask the Holy Ghost to assist you in arriving at true certitude; beseech him with all of your heart; for Apoc. 14:9-11, reads: "If any man shall adore the beast and receive his character... he... shall be tormented with fire and brimstone ...forever and ever." The choice is always ours: "...with me or against me." You have only one opportunity to make the right one.

